

# On Cross Numbers of Minimal Zero Sequences

Scott T. Chapman\*

Trinity University

Department of Mathematics

715 Stadium Drive

San Antonio, Texas 78212-7200

Alfred Geroldinger

Institut für Mathematik

Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz

Heinrichstrasse 36

A-8010 Graz, Austria

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. We compute the complete set of cross numbers of minimal zero sequences associated with  $G$ . We also strengthen a result of Krause concerning minimal zero sequences with cross numbers less than or equal to 1.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be an additively written finite abelian group and  $S = (g_1, \dots, g_l)$  a sequence of elements of  $G$ . For ease of notation, we will also denote  $S$  by  $S = g_1 \cdots g_l$  and use exponentiation to represent repetition in the sequence. We say that  $S$  is a *zero sequence* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^l g_i = 0.$$

Further,  $S$  is called a *minimal zero sequence* if  $\sum_{i \in I} g_i \neq 0$  for each proper subset  $\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$ . The *cross number*  $k(S)$  of  $S$  is defined by

$$k(S) = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  represent the set of all minimal zero sequences of  $G$  and

$$W(G) = \{k(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{U}(G)\}$$

---

\*Part of this work was completed while the first author was on leave at Karl-Franzens-Universität, in Graz, Austria on a Fulbright Fellowship.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11B75, 20D60, 20K01.

Key Words and Phrases: cross number, minimal zero sequence, finite abelian group.

the set of cross numbers of minimal zero sequences. Then

$$K(G) = \exp(G) \max W(G)$$

is called the *cross number* of  $G$ .

Cross numbers of minimal zero sequences, and in particular the cross number of  $G$ , have found a great deal of attention in recent literature (see the references). In this note, we determine  $W(G)$  for all finite abelian  $p$ -groups  $G$ . In section 3 we solve Problem 5 of [1], which deals with special minimal zero sequences  $S$  satisfying  $k(S) \leq 1$ .

Throughout our discussion, we will use notation consistent with that of the papers [4] - [7]:  $\mathbf{Z}$  represents the set of integers,  $\mathbf{N}_+$  the set of positive integers, and  $C_n$  the cyclic group consisting of  $n$  elements.

## 2 On $W(G)$

**Lemma 1** *Let  $G = H \oplus C_{2^r}$  be a finite abelian group with  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\exp(H) = 2^s m$  for some  $0 \leq s < r$ ,  $m$  odd, and  $\exp(G) = 2^r m = n$ . For every  $B \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  we have that  $k(B) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$  for some even  $\lambda \in \mathbf{N}_+$ .*

**Proof:** Let

$$B = a_1 \cdots a_k b_1 \cdots b_l \in \mathcal{U}(G)$$

be given with  $2^r \nmid \text{ord}(a_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $2^r \mid \text{ord}(b_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . Thus, there are even numbers  $n_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that

$$n = \text{ord}(a_i) n_i$$

and hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\text{ord}(a_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{n} = \frac{\lambda_1}{n}$$

for some even  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbf{N}_+$ . For every  $1 \leq j \leq l$  we set

$$b_j = d_j + c_j$$

with  $d_j \in H$  and  $c_j \in C_{2^r}$  with  $\text{ord}(c_j) = 2^r$ . Then,

$$2^{r-1}(c_j + c_{j'}) = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq j < j' \leq l$  and thus

$$2^r \nmid \text{ord}(b_j + b_{j'}).$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i + \sum_{j=1}^l b_j = 0$ , we infer that  $l$  is even. There are odd numbers  $m_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , such that

$$n = \text{ord}(b_j) m_j$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{\text{ord}(b_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{m_j}{n} = \frac{\lambda_2}{n}$$

for some even  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ .  $\diamond$

Set  $W^*(G) = \{k(S) | S \in \mathcal{U}(G) \text{ and } k(S) \leq 1\}$ . In the next theorem we determine  $W^*(G)$  for all finite abelian groups  $G$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group of exponent  $n = 2^r m$  for some odd  $m$  and some  $r \geq 0$ . If  $C_{2^r} \oplus C_{2^r}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then*

$$W^*(G) = \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n \right\}.$$

Otherwise,

$$W^*(G) = \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n, \lambda \text{ even} \right\}.$$

**Proof:** By definition

$$W^*(G) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n \right\}.$$

If  $C_{2^r} \oplus C_{2^r}$  is not a subgroup of  $G$ , then Lemma 1 implies that

$$W^*(G) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n, \lambda \text{ even} \right\}.$$

In order to prove the reverse inclusion, let  $\lambda \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  be given (if  $G$  has no subgroup isomorphic to  $C_{2^r} \oplus C_{2^r}$ , then suppose that  $\lambda$  is even).

**Case 1:** Suppose that  $\lambda$  does not divide  $n$ . Suppose further that  $\gcd(\lambda, m) = \alpha$ ,  $\lambda = \alpha\lambda'$ , and  $m = \alpha m'$ . Then

$$\frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{\lambda'}{n'}$$

with  $n' = 2^r m'$ ,  $2 \leq \lambda' \leq n'$ ,  $\lambda' \equiv \lambda \pmod{2}$  and  $\gcd(\lambda', m') = 1$ .

If  $\lambda'$  is even, then

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + m'\mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + 2^r\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^{(\lambda'-2)} \begin{pmatrix} 2 + m'\mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + 2^r\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda' + m'\mathbf{Z} \\ (1 - \lambda') + 2^r\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}(C_{m'} \oplus C_{2^r})$$

and

$$k(B) = \frac{\lambda' - 2}{n'} + \frac{1}{n'} + \frac{1}{n'} = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

If  $\lambda'$  is odd, then  $C_{2^r} \oplus C_{n'}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Furthermore,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + n'\mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + 2^r\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^{(\lambda'-2)} \begin{pmatrix} 2 + n'\mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + 2^r\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda' + n'\mathbf{Z} \\ (1 - \lambda') + 2^r\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}(C_{n'} \oplus C_{2^r})$$

and

$$k(B) = \frac{\lambda' - 2}{n'} + \frac{1}{n'} + \frac{1}{n'} = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

**Case 2:** Suppose that  $\lambda = n$ . Take some  $0 \neq g \in G$  and set  $B = g^{\text{ord}(g)}$ . Then  $k(B) = 1$ .

**Case 3:** Suppose that  $\lambda < n$  and  $\lambda | n$ . Then there is a prime  $p \in \mathbf{N}_+$  dividing  $\lambda$  such that

$$\frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{p}{p^s l}$$

with  $s \geq 1$ ,  $p \nmid l$ ,  $p^s l | n$ , and  $l \in \mathbf{N}_+$ . If  $\lambda$  is even, we choose  $p = 2$ .

**Case 3.1:** Suppose  $p = 2$ . Take some element  $g \in G$  of order  $p^s l$  and set  $B = (-g)g$ . Then

$$k(B) = \frac{2}{p^s l} = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

**Case 3.2:** Suppose  $p \geq 3$  is odd.

**Case 3.2.1:** Suppose that  $l$  is odd. Set

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + l \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ -1 + l \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ -1 + l \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} (1-p) + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ 2 + l \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $B \in \mathcal{U}(C_{p^s} \oplus C_l)$  and

$$k(B) = \frac{(p-3)/2}{p^s l} + \frac{(p-3)/2}{p^s l} + \frac{2}{p^s l} + \frac{1}{p^s l} = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

**Case 3.2.2:** Suppose that  $2^t | l$  for some  $t \geq 1$ . Since  $p$  is odd,  $\lambda$  is odd and hence  $C_{p^s l} \oplus C_{2^t} \cong C_{p^s} \oplus C_l \oplus C_{2^t}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Set

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + l \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 + 2^t \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ -1 + l \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 + 2^t \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ -1 + l \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 + 2^t \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ -1 + l \mathbf{Z} \\ -1 + 2^t \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1-p) + p^s \mathbf{Z} \\ 2 + l \mathbf{Z} \\ 1 + 2^t \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $B \in \mathcal{U}(C_{p^s} \oplus C_l \oplus C_{2^t})$  and

$$k(B) = \frac{p}{p^s l} = \frac{\lambda}{n} \diamond$$

**Example 3** Let  $G$  be any finite abelian group of odd order with exponent  $n$ . Then Theorem 2 yields (with  $r = 0$ ) that

$$W^*(G) = \left\{ \frac{2}{n}, \frac{3}{n}, \dots, \frac{n}{n} \right\}.$$

In particular, if  $G \cong C_{p^s}$  (with  $p$  an odd prime), then Krause's result [8] implies that

$$W(G) = \left\{ \frac{2}{p^s}, \frac{3}{p^s}, \dots, \frac{p^s}{p^s} \right\}.$$

In particular, if  $G \cong C_{p^s}$  (with  $p$  an odd prime), then Krause's result [8] implies that

$$W(G) = \left\{ \frac{2}{p^s}, \frac{3}{p^s}, \dots, \frac{p^s}{p^s} \right\}.$$

For  $p = 2$  the same group as above yields

$$W(G) = \left\{ \frac{2}{2^s}, \frac{4}{2^s}, \dots, \frac{2^s}{2^s} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . Suppose that  $G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}$  with  $1 = n_0 < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r = n$ . If  $p$  is odd, or if  $p = 2$  and  $n_{r-1} = n$ , then*

$$W(G) = \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq nr - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n}{n_i} \right\}.$$

Otherwise,

$$W(G) = \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq nr - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n}{n_i}, \lambda \text{ even} \right\}.$$

**Proof:** In either of the two cases above,  $W(G)$  is contained in the set on the right side of the equality by [5] and Lemma 1. In order to show the reverse inclusion, we proceed by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$  the assertion follows from Theorem 2. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  be a generating system for  $G$  with  $\text{ord}(e_i) = n_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

**Case 1:**  $p$  odd (or  $p = 2$  and  $n_{r-1} = n$ ). First suppose that  $G = C_{2^s} \oplus C_{2^s}$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}_+$  (i.e.,  $p = r = 2, n_1 = n = 2^s$ ). By Theorem 2 we have that

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n \right\} \subseteq W(G) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq 2n - 1 \right\}.$$

For each  $0 \leq l \leq n - 1$ , set

$$B_l = e_1^{n-1-l} e_2^{n-1-l} (e_1 + e_2)^{l+1} \in \mathcal{U}(G).$$

We have  $k(B_l) = \frac{2n-1-l}{n}$  and hence

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid n \leq \lambda \leq 2n - 1 \right\} \subseteq W(G),$$

which completes the proof for  $G = C_{2^s} \oplus C_{2^s}$ .

Now suppose that  $r \geq 2$  if  $p$  is odd (resp.  $r \geq 3$  if  $p = 2$ ) and that the result holds for  $r - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis we have that

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n(r-1) - \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} \frac{n}{n_i} \right\} = W(\bigoplus_{i=2}^r C_{n_i}) \subseteq$$

$$W(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq nr - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n}{n_i} \right\}.$$

Hence, it remains to verify that for every  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$  there exists some  $B_l \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  with

$$k(B_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n_i - 1}{n_i} + \frac{l + 1}{n}.$$

Let  $l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  be given. If  $p \nmid l$  or  $p = 2$ , then

$$B_l = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i^{n_i-1} e_r^l (e_1 + \dots + e_{r-1} - l e_r)$$

satisfies the desired condition. If  $p \mid l$  and  $p$  odd, then

$$B_l = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i^{n_i-1} e_r^{l-1} 2e_r (e_1 + \dots + e_{r-1} - (l+1)e_r)$$

yields the required value for  $k(B_l)$ .

**Case 2:**  $p = 2$  and  $n_{r-1} < n$ . Suppose  $r \geq 2$ . By the induction hypothesis we infer that

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq n(r-1) - \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} \frac{n}{n_i}, \lambda \text{ even} \right\} = W(\oplus_{i=2}^r C_{n_i}) \subseteq$$

$$W(\oplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n} \mid 2 \leq \lambda \leq nr - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n}{n_i}, \lambda \text{ even} \right\}.$$

For  $0 \leq l \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ , set

$$B_l = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i^{n_i-1} e_r^{n-1-2l} (e_1 + \dots + e_{r-1} + (2l+1)e_r) \in \mathcal{U}(G).$$

Then

$$k(B_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{n_i - 1}{n_i} + \frac{n - 2l}{n}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\diamond$

**Remark 5** Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group of odd order. Then, by Theorem 4, every possible value between  $\min W(G)$  and  $\max W(G)$  may be realized as a cross number of some  $S \in \mathcal{U}(G)$ . We have been unable to find a non- $p$ -group of odd order that satisfies this property.

### 3 On Zero Sequences $S$ with $k(S) \leq 1$

The following result strengthens Lemma 2 in [8] and solves Problem 5 in [1].

**Theorem 6** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and  $g$  some nonzero element of  $G$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  is cyclic of prime power order.

2.  $k(S) \leq 1$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  containing  $g$ .

**Proof:**  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  follows from Theorem 4.

$(2 \Rightarrow 1)$  Assume to the contrary that  $G$  is not cyclic of prime power order. Then  $G$  is the direct sum of two non-trivial subgroups, say  $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ . Hence  $g = g_1 + g_2$  with  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,  $g_2 \in G_2$  and not both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are equal to zero. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $g_1 \neq 0$  and  $\text{ord}(g_1) = m > 1$ . We consider two cases:

**Case 1:** Suppose that  $g_2 \neq 0$ . Set  $\text{ord}(g_2) = n > 1$  and

$$S = g_1^{(m-1)} g_2^{(n-1)} g.$$

Then  $S \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  and

$$k(S) = \frac{m-1}{m} + \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{1}{\text{lcm}(m,n)} > 1,$$

a contradiction.

**Case 2:** Suppose that  $g_2 = 0$ . We choose an element  $h \in G_2$  with  $\text{ord}(h) = n > 1$  and set

$$S = g^{(m-1)} h^{(n-1)} (g + h).$$

As above, we have  $S \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  and  $k(S) > 1$ , a contradiction.  $\diamond$

The following corollary offers an alternate proof of the main result of [3].

**Corollary 7** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and  $g$  some nonzero element of  $G$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. either  $G = C_2$  and  $g = 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $G = C_4$  and  $g = 2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$ ,
2.  $k(S) = 1$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  containing  $g$ .

**Proof:** Since the proof of  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  is obvious, we prove only  $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$ . The previous Theorem implies that  $G \cong C_{p^n}$  for some prime  $p$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . Since

$$k((-g)g) = \frac{2}{\text{ord}(g)} = 1,$$

it follows that  $\text{ord}(g) = 2$  and hence  $p = 2$ . If  $n = 1$ , then we are done. If  $n \geq 2$ , we choose an element  $h \in G$  with  $\text{ord}(h) = 2^n$ . Then

$$k(gh(-g - h)) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{2^n} = 1,$$

implies that  $n = 2$ .  $\diamond$

# References

- [1] S.T.Chapman, *The Davenport Constant, the Cross Number, and their Application in Factorization Theory*, Zero-Dimensional Commutative Rings, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [2] S.T. Chapman and W.W. Smith, *An analysis using the Zaks-Skula constant of element factorizations in Dedekind domains*, J. Algebra 159(1993), 176-190.
- [3] S.T. Chapman and W. Thill, *A generalization of a theorem of Zaks and Skula*, submitted for publication.
- [4] A. Geroldinger, *On a conjecture of Kleitman and Lemke*, J. Number Theory 44 (1993), 60-65.
- [5] A. Geroldinger, *The cross number of finite abelian groups*, J. Number Theory 48(1994), 219-223.
- [6] A. Geroldinger and R. Schneider, *The cross number of finite abelian groups II*, Europ. J. Combinatorics 15(1994), 399-405.
- [7] A. Geroldinger and R. Schneider, *The cross number of finite abelian groups III*, to appear in Discrete Math.
- [8] U. Krause, *A characterization of algebraic number fields with cyclic class group of prime power order*, Math. Z. 186(1984), 143-148.
- [9] U. Krause and C. Zahlten, *Arithmetic in Krull monoids and the cross number of divisor class groups*, Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg 12(1991), 681-696.

(Received 1/9/95)