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#### Abstract

A general upper bound for the size Ramsey number $\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ matching the exact value for $s \leq 7$ and $t$ sufficiently large is presented. Moreover, the remaining unknown values of the size Ramsey number for pairs of forests of order at most five are determined.


## 1 Introduction

For any pair of graphs $G$ and $H$ the size Ramsey number $\hat{r}(G, H)$ is defined to be the minimum size of a graph $F$ such that in any 2-coloring of the edges of $F$, say with red and green, there is a red copy of $G$ or a green copy of $H$; as usual this is denoted by $F \rightarrow(G, H)$. The size Ramsey number was introduced in [8] and has been studied for several pairs of graphs, i.e. for pairs of complete graphs and of stars ([8]) and, mostly with regard to the asymptotic behavior, for pairs of paths, of trees and of cycles ([1], [2], [12], [13]). Moreover, various results have been obtained for pairs of graphs where one of the two graphs is a matching or a star, respectively ([4], [6], [10], [14]).

Here we will consider the size Ramsey number for a path $P_{s}$ of order $s$ versus a star $K_{1, t}$. A general upper bound will be derived for $\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$, and it will be shown that this bound is best possible for $s \leq 7$ and $t$ sufficiently large. Moreover, as an extension of the results in [9], where the size Ramsey number has been determined for almost all pairs of graphs of order at most four, we will present the still missing values of the size Ramsey number for all pairs of forests of order at most five.

The following notation will be used. As usual, the vertex-set of a graph $G$ is denoted by $V(G)$, the edge-set by $E(G)$, and we write $p(G)=|V(G)|$ and $q(G)=$ $|E(G)|$. A 2-coloring of a graph $F$ always means a 2-coloring of the edges of $F$ with red and green. A $(G, H)$-coloring of a graph $F$ is a 2-coloring of $F$ containing neither a red copy of $G$ nor a green copy of $H$. Furthermore the set of red neighbors of a
vertex $v \in V(F)$ in a 2-coloring of $F$ is denoted by $R_{v}$ and the set of green neighbors by $G_{v}$, respectively. Further special notation will be introduced as needed.

Notation not specifically mentioned will follow that in [11].

## 2 Paths versus stars

It is easy to see that

$$
\hat{r}\left(P_{2}, K_{1, t}\right)=t, \quad \hat{r}\left(P_{3}, K_{1, t}\right)=t+1
$$

The following two lemmas will determine an upper bound for $\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ in case of $s \geq 4$ and $t$ sufficiently large.

Lemma 1. Let $m \geq 2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m, 2 t-1} \rightarrow\left(P_{2 m+1}, K_{1, t}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad t \geq \max \{m+1,2 m-5\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove (1) applying induction on $m$. The assertion holds for $m=$ 2. Suppose now that $m \geq 3$ and that (1) holds for $m-1$ and fails for $m$, i.e. a $\left(P_{2 m+1}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring $\chi$ of the graph $G=K_{m, 2 t-1}$ exists. Consider the coloring $\chi$. Let $U$ be the set of the $m$ independent vertices and $W=V(G) \backslash U$. Note that $\left|G_{v}\right| \leq t-1$ and $\left|R_{v}\right| \geq t \geq m+1$ for every $v \in U$. Pick some vertex $u \in U$. By the induction hypothesis we can find a red path $P$ of order $2 m-1$ in $G-u=K_{m-1,2 t-1}$. We see that $P=w_{1} u_{1} w_{2} u_{2} \ldots w_{m-1} u_{m-1} w_{m}$ where $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m-1}\right\}=U \backslash\{u\}$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m} \in W$. Let $W_{1}=\left\{w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m-1}\right\}, W_{2}=W \backslash W_{1}$ and, for $v \in U, R_{v}^{*}=$ $R_{v} \cap W_{2}$. Note that $\left|R_{v}^{*}\right| \geq t-m+2$ for every $v \in U$. Furthermore we see that $R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{1}}^{*}=R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}=\emptyset$ as otherwise a red path of order $2 m+1$ is unavoidable.

First suppose that $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*} \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $w_{1} \in R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}$. This yields a red cycle $C$ of order $2 m-2$ containing $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m-1}$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m-1}$. A vertex $w_{i} \in W_{1}$ must be joined red to $u$ because of $R_{u}^{*} \subset G_{u_{1}},\left|G_{u_{1}}\right| \leq t-1$ and $\left|R_{u}\right| \geq t$. Moreover, we can find vertices $x \in R_{u_{i-1}}^{*} \backslash\left\{w_{1}\right\}$ and $y \in R_{u}^{*} \backslash\{x\}$. But then a red path of order $2 m+1$ can be obtained using edges of $C$ and the edges $x u_{i-1}$, $w_{i} u$,uy.

The remaining case is that the sets $R_{u_{1}}^{*}, R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}$ and $R_{u}^{*}$ are pairwise disjoint and contain at least $t-m+2$ elements each. It follows that $m-2+3(t-m+$ $2) \leq|W|=2 t-1$ which implies that $t \leq 2 m-5$. In view of the assumption $t \geq \max \{m+1,2 m-5\}$ we see that only $t=2 m-5$ and $m \geq 6$ is left. Here a contradiction can only be avoided if $W_{2}=R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cup R_{u_{m-1}}^{*} \cup R_{u}^{*}$ and if $R_{u_{1}}^{*}, R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}$ and $R_{u}^{*}$ contain $t-m+2=m-3$ elements each. This implies that all edges from $u_{1}, u_{m-1}$ and $u$ to $W_{1}$ are red. Now consider the vertex $u_{2}$. There must be a red neighbor $w$ of $u_{2}$ in $W_{2}$. If $w \in R_{u_{1}}^{*}$, we take a vertex $w^{\prime} \in R_{u}^{*}$ and obtain the red $P_{2 m+1}=w^{\prime} u w_{2} u_{2} w u_{1} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4} \ldots w_{m-1} u_{m-1} w_{m}$. The remaining cases that
$v \in R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}$ or $w \in R_{u}^{*}$ similarly lead to a contradiction, and the proof of Lemma 1 is omplete.

Lemma 2. Let $m \geq 2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}+\bar{K}_{2 t-1-m} \rightarrow\left(P_{2 m}, K_{1, t}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad t \geq 4 m-3 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply induction on $m$. In case of $m=2$ the assertion holds for $\geq 4$. Suppose now that $m \geq 3$ and that (2) holds for $m-1$ and fails for $m$, i.e. a $\left(P_{2 m}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring $\chi$ of the graph $G=K_{m}+\bar{K}_{2 t-1-m}$ exists. Consider the coloring $\chi$. Let $U$ be the vertex-set of the $K_{m}$ and $W=V(G) \backslash U$. Pick some vertex $u \in U$ and remove the edges joining $u$ to $W$. This yields a graph $G^{\prime}=K_{m-1}+\bar{K}_{2 t-1-(m-1)}$. By the induction hypothesis we can find a red path $P$ of order $2 m-2$ in $G^{\prime}$.

Case I: $P$ does not contain the vertex $u$. Then $P$ has to contain the remaining $m-1$ vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m-1}$ from $U, m-1$ vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m-1} \in W$, and at most one edge belonging to $[U]$ can occur in $P$. This leads to one of the following two subcases.
I.1: $P$ does not contain an edge belonging to $[U]$. Then we may assume that $P=$
 $R_{v}^{*}=R_{v} \cap W_{2}$. We see that $\left|R_{v}^{*}\right| \geq t-2 m+2$ for every $v \in U$. No red $P_{2 m}$ in $\chi$ implies that $R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{1}}^{*}=R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}=\emptyset$. Thus, $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*} \neq \emptyset$ since otherwise $2 t-2 m+1=\left|W_{2}\right| \geq\left|R_{u_{1}}^{*}\right|+\left|R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}\right|+\left|R_{u}^{*}\right| \geq 3 t-6 m+6$ in contradiction to $t \geq 4 m-3$. We may assume that $w_{1} \in R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}$. Then $P$ and the edge $w_{1} u_{m-1}$ yield a red cycle $C$ of length $2 m-2$. A red edge from $u$ to $C$ would yield a red path of order $2 m$ because of $\left|R_{u}^{*}\right|>1$. Thus, we have $2 m-2$ green edges from $u$ to $C$. Then no green $K_{1, t}$ in $\chi$ implies at most $t-2$ green edges from $u_{1}$ to $W$, i.e. $\left|R_{u_{1}}^{*} \backslash\left\{w_{1}\right\}\right| \geq t-2 m+2$. Since $R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{1}}^{*}=\emptyset$, there must be at least $t-2 m+2$ green edges from $u$ to $W \backslash V(C)$ and together with the $2 m-2$ green edges joining $u$ to $V(C)$ this yields a green $K_{1, t}$.
I.2: $P$ contains an edge $a b$ belonging to $[U]$. In this case we may assume that $P=$
 for $v \in U, R_{v}^{*}=R_{v} \cap W_{2}$. If $R_{a}^{*} \cap R_{b}^{*} \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a red path $P$ of order $2 m-2$ as in case I.1. Thus, we may assume that $R_{a}^{*} \cap R_{b}^{*}=\emptyset$. Note that $\left|W_{2}\right|=2 t-2 m+2$ and $\left|R_{v}^{*}\right| \geq t-2 m+3$ for every $v \in U$. Using $t \geq 4 m-3$ we see that among any three vertices from $U$ there are two of them with a common red neighbor in $W_{2}$. Thus, a vertex $c \in\left(R_{a}^{*} \cup R_{b}^{*}\right) \cap R_{u}^{*}$ exists. We may assume that $c \in R_{a}^{*} \cap R_{u}^{*}$. Moreover, $R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{1}}^{*}=R_{u}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*}=\emptyset$ as otherwise a red path of order $2 m$ would occur. This implies $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{m-1}}^{*} \neq \emptyset$, and we obtain a red cycle $C^{\prime}$ of order $2 m-3$. But then we obtain a red path of order $2 m$ by removing the edge $a b$ from $C^{\prime}$ and adding the edges $a c, c u$ and $u d$ where $d \in R_{u}^{*} \backslash\{c\}$.

Case II: $P$ contains the vertex $u$. We may assume that any red path of order $2 m-2$ contains $u$ and that $P$ contains every $v \in U$, since otherwise we would obtain
a situation equivalent to case I. Thus, $u$ cannot be an end-vertex of $P$ since otherwise we could find a red edge joining the neighbor of $u$ on $P$, which must also belong to $U$, to a vertex in $W \backslash V(P)$ yielding a red $P_{2 m-2}$ without $u$. Similarly, no red $P_{2 m-2}$ can have an end-edge belonging to $[U]$. Let $W_{1}=V(P) \cap W, W_{2}=W \backslash W_{1}$, and let $x$ and $y$ be the neighbors of $u$ on $P$. Note that $\left|W_{1}\right|=m-2,\left|W_{2}\right|=2 t-2 m+1$ and $x, y \in U$. Let $R_{v}^{*}=R_{v} \cap W_{2}$ for $v \in U$. Using that $\left|R_{v}^{*}\right| \geq t-2 m+2$ for every $v \in U$ and $t \geq 4 m-3$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{v_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{v_{2}}^{*}=R_{v_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{v_{3}}^{*}=\emptyset \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left|R_{v_{2}}^{*} \cap R_{v_{3}}^{*}\right| \geq 2 \text { for all } v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in U \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $R_{x}^{*} \cap R_{y}^{*}=\emptyset$ since otherwise we would obtain a red path $P_{2 m-2}$ not containing $u$. Moreover, no red $P_{2 m}$ in $\chi$ implies that $R_{x}^{*} \cap R_{u}^{*}=\emptyset$ or $R_{y}^{*} \cap R_{u}^{*}=\emptyset$. Thus, (3) yields that $\left|R_{y}^{*} \cap R_{u}^{*}\right| \geq 2$ or $\left|R_{x}^{*} \cap R_{u}^{*}\right| \geq 2$. We may assume that $w, w^{\prime} \in$ $R_{y}^{*} \cap R_{u}^{*}$. Suppose that $P$ contains an end-vertex $z \in U$. But then we can replace $u y$ by $u w$ and $w y$ and add a red edge to $P$ joining $z$ to a vertex in $W_{2} \backslash\{w\}$ yielding a red $P_{2 m}$. Thus, $P=w_{1} u_{1} \ldots x u y \ldots u_{2} w_{2}$ where $w_{1}, w_{2} \in W$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in U$. It is allowed that $u_{1}=x$ or $u_{2}=y$.

Suppose that a vertex $v_{1} \in R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}$ exists. We may assume that $v_{1} \neq w$ since otherwise we could exchange $w$ and $w^{\prime}$. But then we obtain a red $P_{2 m}$ by removing the edges $x u, u y, w_{1} u_{1}, u_{2} w_{2}$ from $P$ and adding the edges $u_{1} v_{1}, v_{1} u_{2}, u w, w y, x v_{2}, u v_{3}$ where $v_{2} \in R_{x}^{*} \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ and $v_{3} \in R_{u}^{*} \backslash\left\{w, v_{1}\right\}$. Thus, $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}=\emptyset$. Moreover, $R_{x}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}=\emptyset$ and $R_{y}^{*} \cap R_{u_{1}}^{*}=\emptyset$ since otherwise a forbidden red $P_{2 m-2}$ with an endedge belonging to $[U]$ would occur. Especially, $w, w^{\prime} \notin R_{u_{1}}^{*}$. By (3) it follows that we can find two distinct vertices $z_{1} \in R_{y}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}$ and $z_{2} \in R_{x}^{*} \cap R_{u_{1}}^{*}$ where $z_{1}, z_{2} \neq w$.

It can be checked that a third edge $a b$ belonging to $[U]$ must occur on $P$. This leads to one of the following two subcases.
II.1: The edge $a b$ belongs to the section of $P$ from $y$ to $w_{2}$. Let $a$ be the vertex that is reached before $b$ passing through $P$ from $y$ to $w_{2}$. First suppose that a vertex $s_{1} \in R_{a}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}$ exists. We may assume that $s_{1} \neq w$ since otherwise we could exchange $w$ and $w^{\prime}$. But then we obtain a red $P_{2 m}$ by removing the edges $u y, a b, u_{2} w_{2}$ from $P$ and adding the edges $u w, w y, a s_{1}, s_{1} u_{2}, b s_{2}$ where $s_{2} \in R_{b}^{*} \backslash\left\{w, s_{1}\right\}$. Thus, $R_{a}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}=\emptyset$. This implies that $a \neq y$. Using that $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}=\emptyset$ and (3) we see that a vertex $s_{3} \in R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{a}^{*}$ with $s_{3} \neq w, z_{1}$ must exist. But then we obtain a red $P_{2 m}$ from $P$ by removing the edges $w_{1} u_{1}, w_{2} u_{2}, a b$ and the two edges incident to $y$ on $P$ and adding the edges $u w, w y, y z_{1}, z_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} s_{3}, s_{3} a, b s_{4}$ where $s_{4} \in R_{b}^{*} \backslash\left\{w, z_{1}, s_{3}\right\}$.
II.2: The edge $a b$ belongs to the section of $P$ from $w_{1}$ to $x$. Let $a$ be the vertex that is reached before $b$ passing through $P$ from $w_{1}$ to $x$. First suppose that a vertex $t_{1} \in R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{b}^{*}$ with $t_{1} \neq w$ exists. Then we obtain a red $P_{2 m}$ by removing the edges $w_{1} u_{1}, a b, u y$ from $P$ and adding the edges $u_{1} t_{1}, t_{1} b, u w, w y, a t_{2}$ where $t_{2} \in R_{a}^{*} \backslash\left\{w, t_{1}\right\}$. Thus, $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{b}^{*}=\emptyset$. Taking into account that $R_{u_{1}}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}=\emptyset$ and (3) the remaining case is that a vertex $t_{3} \in R_{b}^{*} \cap R_{u_{2}}^{*}$ with $t_{3} \neq z_{2}$ exists. We may assume that $t_{3} \neq w$. But this yields a red $P_{2 m}$ if the edges $a b, x u, u y, w_{1} u_{1}, w_{2} u_{2}$ are removed from $P$ and the edges $u_{1} z_{2}, z_{2} x, u w, w y, b t_{3}, t_{3} u_{2}, a t_{4}$ where $t_{4} \in R_{a}^{*} \backslash\left\{w, z_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$ are added. This completes the proof of Lemma 2 .

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain
Theorem 1. Let $s \geq 4$ be fixed, $m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor, t_{1}=\max \{m+1,2 m-5\}$ and $t_{2}=4 m-3$. Then

$$
\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right) \leq \begin{cases}2 m t-m & \text { if } s \text { is odd and } t \geq t_{1}  \tag{4}\\ 2 m t-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}-\frac{3}{2} m & \text { if } s \text { is even and } t \geq t_{2}\end{cases}
$$

In the following we will prove that the upper bound given in Theorem 1 is attained for $s \leq 7$ if $t$ is sufficiently large. Some additional notation will be useful. A graph is called an ( $n, t$ )-brush if it contains exactly $n$ vertices of degree at least $t$ and no two vertices of degree at most $t-1$ are adjacent. We will always use $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ to denote the vertices of degree at least $t$ in an $(n, t)$-brush $G$ and let $U=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ and $W=V(G) \backslash U$. The number of vertices in $W$ adjacent to $u_{i}$ will be denoted by $l_{i}$. Moreover, we define $\hat{r}_{n}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ to be the minimum size of an $(n, t)$-brush $G$ with $G \rightarrow\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$.

Lemma 3. Any graph $G$ satisfying $G \rightarrow\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ and $G-e \nrightarrow\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ for every edge $e \in E(G)$ is an $(n, t)$-brush with $n \geq m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)=\min \left\{\hat{r}_{n}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right): n \geq m\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $G$ contains an edge $e=u v$ where $d(u), d(v) \leq t-1$. Take a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring of $G-e$ and add the edge $e$ in green. This yields a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ coloring of $G$, a contradiction. Additionally, if $n<\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$, no subgraph $P_{s}$ occurs in $G$ and a ( $P_{s}, K_{1, t}$ )-coloring of $G$ trivially exists. This obviously implies (5).

Lemma 4. Let $s \geq 4, m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$ and $t \geq m$. Then

$$
\hat{r}_{m}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right) \geq \begin{cases}2 m t-m & \text { if } s \text { is odd }  \tag{6}\\ 2 m t-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}-\frac{3}{2} m & \text { if } s \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. First let $s$ be odd. Consider an $(m, t)$-brush $G$ where $q(G) \leq 2 m t-m-1$. Then $l_{i} \leq 2 t-2$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{l_{i}}$ be the vertices in $W$ adjacent to $u_{i}$. Then color the edges from $u_{i}$ to $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\left\lfloor l_{i} / 2\right\rfloor}$ and the edges between $U \backslash\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ and $w_{\left\lfloor l_{i} / 2\right\rfloor+1}, \ldots, w_{l_{i}}$ green and all remaining edges red. This gives a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ coloring of $G$ implying (6) for $s$ odd.

Now let $s$ be even. Consider an $(m, t)$-brush $G$ where $q(G) \leq 2 m t-m^{2} / 2-$ $3 m / 2-1$. Then $d\left(u_{i}\right) \leq 2 t-3$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Color $\min \left\{t-2, l_{i}\right\}$ edges from $u_{i}$ to $W$ red and all other edges incident to $u_{i}$ green. Then color the edges between $U \backslash\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ and the red neighbors of $u_{i}$ green and all remaining edges red. This
gives a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring of $G$ implying (6) for $s$ even, and the proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

A direct consequence of Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 4 is
Theorem 2. Let $s \geq 4, m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$, and let $t \geq \max \{m+1,2 m-5\}$ for $s$ odd and $t \geq 4 m-3$ for $s$ even. Then

$$
\hat{r}_{m}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)= \begin{cases}2 m t-m & \text { if } s \text { is odd }  \tag{7}\\ 2 m t-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}-\frac{3}{2} m & \text { if } s \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $s \geq 4$ be fixed and $m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$. Then for $t$ sufficiently large (especially for $t \geq 2 m^{2}+2 m+1$ in case of $s$ odd and for $t \geq \frac{3}{2} m^{2}+\frac{3}{2} m-2$ in case of $s$ even)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)=\min \left\{\hat{r}_{n}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right): m \leq n \leq 2 m-2\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\hat{r}_{n}=\hat{r}_{n}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$. Note that for the $t$ in question $\hat{r}_{m}$ is determined by Theorem 2. We will show that $\hat{r}_{n} \geq \hat{r}_{m}$ for $n \geq 2 m-1$. Then the assertion follows by Lemma 3 .

First let $G$ be an $(n, t)$-brush where $n \geq 2 m+1$. Using Theorem 2 we obtain $q(G) \geq(2 m+1) t-\binom{2 m+1}{2} \geq \hat{r}_{m}$ for the $t$ in question. This trivially implies $\hat{r}_{n} \geq \hat{r}_{m}$.

It remains that $2 m-1 \leq n \leq 2 m$. Let $r_{i}=d\left(u_{i}\right)-t$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \ldots \geq r_{n}$. Moreover, we will use that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=q(G)-n t+q([U]) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any ( $n, t$ )-brush $G$.
First consider a $(2 m, t)$-brush $G$ with $q(G)<\hat{r}_{m}$. Using Theorem 2 and $q([U]) \leq$ $\binom{2 m}{2}$ we obtain by (9) that $\sum_{i=1}^{2 m}\left(r_{i}+1\right) \leq t-(2 m-1)$ for the $t$ in question. Note that $l_{i} \geq t-(2 m-1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Thus, for $i=1, \ldots, 2 m$ we can color $r_{i}+1$ edges from $u_{i}$ to $W$ red such that no vertex in $W$ is incident to more than one red edge. Coloring all remaining edges green we obtain a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring of $G$. This implies $\hat{r}_{2 m} \geq \hat{r}_{m}$.

Finally consider a $(2 m-1, t)$-brush $G$ with $q(G)<\hat{r}_{m}$. Let $U^{(1)}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m-1}\right\}$, $U^{(2)}=\left\{u_{m}, \ldots, u_{2 m-2}\right\}$ and $U^{(3)}=\left\{u_{2 m-1}\right\}$. Color the edges in $\left[U^{(1)}\right]$ and $\left[U^{(2)}\right]$ red and all other edges in $[U]$ green. Now let $n_{i}$ be the number of red edges incident to $u_{i}$ in $[U]$. It can be checked by considering (9) that for the $t$ in question

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
l_{2 m-1} \geq t+r_{2 m-1}-(2 m-2) & \geq r_{2 m-1}+1, & \\
l_{i} \geq t+r_{i}-\left(n_{i}+m\right) & \geq r_{i}+1-n_{i}+r_{2 m-1}+1 & \text { if } m \leq i \leq 2 m-2, \\
l_{i} \geq t+r_{i}-\left(n_{i}+m\right) & \geq r_{i}+1-n_{i}+\sum_{i=m}^{2 m-1}\left(r_{i}+1\right) & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq m-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, for $i=2 m-1, \ldots, 1$ we can color $r_{i}+1-n_{i}$ edges from $u_{i}$ to $W$ red such that no vertex in $W$ is adjacent in red to two of the sets $U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}$ and $U^{(3)}$. If all remaining edges are colored green, a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring of $G$ is obtained. This implies $\hat{r}_{2 m-1} \geq \hat{r}_{m}$, and the proof of Lemma 5 is complete.

Theorem 3. Let $m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$. Then for $s=4$ if $t \geq 4$, for $s=5$ if $t \geq 2$, for $s=6$ if $t \geq 16$ and for $s=7$ if $t \geq 25$

$$
\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)= \begin{cases}2 m t-m & \text { if } s=5 \text { or } s=7,  \tag{10}\\ 2 m t-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}-\frac{3}{2} m & \text { if } s=4 \text { or } s=6\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Using Lemma 5 and Theorem 2 we obtain (10) in case of $s=4$ for $t \geq 7$ and in case of $s=5$ for $t \geq 13$. It can be checked by some case analysis that (10) already holds for $t \geq 4$ and $t \geq 2$, respectively.

Now consider $6 \leq s \leq 7$. Theorem 1 establishes the upper bound for $t \geq 9$ and $t \geq$ 4 , respectively. Let $G$ be a $(4, t)$-brush with $q(G) \leq 6 t-4$ and let $t \geq 15$. Considering Lemma 5 and Theorem 2 the proof is complete if it can be shown that a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ coloring of $G$ exists. We define $N\left(u_{i}\right)$ to be the set of vertices in $W$ adjacent to $u_{i}$. For any permutation $i, j, k, l$ of $1,2,3,4$ let $N_{i, j, k, l}=\left(N\left(u_{i}\right) \cup N\left(u_{j}\right)\right) \cap\left(N\left(u_{k}\right) \cup N\left(u_{l}\right)\right)$, and let $n_{d}$ be the number of vertices of degree $d$ in $W$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{1,2,3,4}\right|+\left|N_{1,3,2,4}\right|+\left|N_{1,4,2,3}\right|=2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+3 n_{4} \leq q(G) \leq 6 t-4 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider some fixed $N_{i, j, k, l}$. Let $A=N_{i, j, k, l} \backslash N\left(u_{j}\right), B=N_{i, j, k, l} \backslash N\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $C=$ $N_{i, j, k, l} \backslash(A \cup B)$.

First suppose that $\min \{|A|,|B|\} \leq t-3$ and $\max \{|A|+|C|,|B|+|C|\} \leq 2 t-6$. We may assume that $|B| \leq|A|$. If $|B|+|C| \geq t-3$, choose a $(t-3-|B|)$-element subset $C^{\prime} \subset C$ and a $|B|$-element subset $A^{\prime} \subset A$. Then color the edges between $\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\}$ and $A^{\prime} \cup B \cup C^{\prime} \cup\left\{u_{k}, u_{l}\right\}$ and the edges between $\left\{u_{k}, u_{l}\right\}$ and $\left(C \backslash C^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(A \backslash A^{\prime}\right)$ green and all remaining edges in $G$ red. If $|B|+|C|<t-3$, choose a $(t-3-|C|)$-element subset $A^{\prime} \subset A$ in case of $|A| \geq t-3-|C|$, otherwise put $A^{\prime}=A$. Then color the edges between $\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\}$ and $A^{\prime} \cup B \cup C \cup\left\{u_{k}, u_{l}\right\}$ and the edges between $\left\{u_{k}, u_{l}\right\}$ and $A \backslash A^{\prime}$ green and all remaining edges in $G$ red. In both cases a ( $P_{s}, K_{1, t}$ )-coloring of $G$ is obtained.

The remaining case is that $|A|,|B| \geq t-2$ or $\max \{|A|+|C|,|B|+|C|\} \geq 2 t-5$ for every permutation $i, j, k, l$ of $1,2,3,4$, which implies that $\left|N_{i, j, k, l}\right| \geq 2 t-5$.

First let $|A|,|B| \geq t-2$ for some $N_{i, j, k, l}$, we may assume for $N_{1,2,3,4}$. Then (11) and $\left|N_{1,3,2,4}\right|,\left|N_{1,4,2,3}\right| \geq 2 t-5$ imply that $\left|N_{1,2,3,4}\right| \leq 3 t-9$ for $t \geq 15$. Choose $(t-3)$-element subsets $A^{\prime} \subset A$ and $B^{\prime} \subset B$. Then color the edges between $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and $A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ and the edges between $\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ and $\left(A \backslash A^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(B \backslash B^{\prime}\right) \cup C$ green and all remaining edges in $G$ red. Again a $\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$-coloring of $G$ is obtained.

Only the case that $\max \{|A|+|C|,|B|+|C|\} \geq 2 t-5$ for every $N_{i, j, k, l}$ is left. This implies that at least three vertices in $U$ are adjacent to at least $2 t-5$ vertices in $W$
each. It follows that $q(G) \geq t+3(2 t-5)$. But this contradicts $q(G) \leq 6 t-4$ for $t \geq 12$, and the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

Remark. Considering Theorem 3 we conjecture that the upper bound given in Theorem 1 for $\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ is also attained for $s \geq 8$ if $t$ is sufficiently large (depending on $s$ ).

In case of $s \geq 4, m=\lfloor s / 2\rfloor$ and $t \geq m$ the best lower bound for $\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right)$ currently known to us is

$$
\hat{r}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right) \geq(m+1) t-\binom{m+1}{2}
$$

This follows immediately from Lemma 3 since $\hat{r}_{n}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right) \geq(m+1) t-\binom{m+1}{2}$ for $n \geq m+1$ (consider the edges incident to $m+1$ of the $n$ vertices of degree at least $t$ of an $(n, t)$-brush) and $\hat{r}_{m}\left(P_{s}, K_{1, t}\right) \geq(m+1) t-\binom{m+1}{2}$ by Lemma 4.

## 3 Forests of order at most five

Table 1 gives the size Ramsey number for all pairs of isolate-free forests of order at most five. Additionally, Table 2 gives the corresponding restricted size Ramsey number $\hat{r}^{*}(G, H)$ which is defined as the minimum size of a graph $F$ with $r(G, H)$ vertices and $F \rightarrow(G, H)$, where $r(G, H)$ denotes the minimum order of a graph $F^{\prime}$ satisfying $F^{\prime} \rightarrow(G, H)$.

Note that the trivial results $\hat{r}\left(P_{2}, H\right)=\hat{r}^{*}\left(P_{2}, H\right)=q(H)$ for arbitrary $H$ have been omitted in both tables.

Following the notation in [3] we use $S_{1,3}$ to denote the graph obtained from a star $K_{1,3}$ by joining an additional fifth vertex to one of the outer vertices of the star.

The footnotes indicate where the corresponding values of $\hat{r}(G, H)$ and $\hat{r}^{*}(G, H)$ have been obtained from. The remaining values can be checked by some tedious and lengthy case analysis which is omitted here.

|  | $2 P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{3} \cup P_{2}$ | $K_{1,3}$ | $P_{4}$ | $K_{1,4}$ | $S_{1,3}$ | $P_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 P_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ {[5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ {[5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ {[5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ {[6]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & {[5]} \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6} \\ {[6]} \end{gathered}$ |
| $P_{3}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & {[5]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \hline \\ {[5]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ {[9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5 \\ {[5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Th. } 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| $P_{3} \cup P_{2}$ |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $K_{1,3}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5} \\ {[5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ {[9]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & {[5]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { Th. } 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $P_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ {[9]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { Th. } 3 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 10 |
| $K_{1,4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \\ & {[5]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ \text { Th. } 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| $S_{1,3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & {[3]} \end{aligned}$ | 11 |
| $P_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |

Table 1: $\hat{r}(G, H)$ for all pairs of isolate-free forests of order at most five

|  | $2 P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{3} \cup P_{2}$ | $K_{1,3}$ | $P_{4}$ | $K_{1,4}$ | $S_{1,3}$ | $P_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 P_{2}$ | 5 | 4 <br> $[9]$ | 6 | 8 | 5 <br> $[9]$ | 12 | 8 | 6 |
| $P_{3}$ |  | 3 <br> $[7]$ | 5 | 4 <br> $[7]$ | 5 <br> $[9]$ | 10 <br> $[7]$ | 7 | 6 <br> Th. 3 |
| $P_{3} \cup P_{2}$ |  |  | 8 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 10 |
| $K_{1,3}$ |  |  |  | 5 <br> $[7]$ | 9 | 6 <br> $[7]$ | 10 | 10 |
| $P_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | 7 <br> $[9]$ | 11 <br> $T h .3$ | 9 | 10 |
| $K_{1,4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 21 <br> $[7]$ | 17 | 17 |
| $S_{1,3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 11 |
| $P_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |

Table 2: $\hat{r}^{*}(G, H)$ for all pairs of isolate-free forests of order at most five
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