

The k th Upper Generalized Exponent Set for the Class of Non-symmetric Primitive Matrices

Yu-Bin Gao Yan-Ling Shao

Department of Mathematics
North China Institute of Technology
Taiyuan, Shanxi 030051, P. R. China

Abstract

Let QB_n be the set of $n \times n$ ($n > 8$) non-symmetric primitive matrices with at least one pair of nonzero symmetric entries. For each positive integer $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$, we give the k th upper generalized exponent set for QB_n by using a graph theoretical method.

1 Introduction

An $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix A is called *primitive* if there exist some positive integer t such that $A^t > 0$. The least such positive integer t is called the *exponent* of A , denoted by $\gamma(A)$.

In [1], Brualdi and Liu defined the k th upper generalized exponent $F(A, k)$ as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([1]) *Let A be a primitive matrix of order n and $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Set*

$$F(A, k) = \min\{p \mid \text{no set of } k \text{ rows of } A^p \text{ has a column of all zeros}\}.$$

$F(A, k)$ is called the k th upper generalized exponent of A .

The k th upper generalized exponent is a generalization of the traditional concept of the exponent. Background can be found in [1].

It is well-known that for each nonnegative matrix A there exists an associated digraph $D(A)$ whose adjacency matrix has the same zero entries as A . A digraph D is primitive iff D is strongly connected and $\text{g.c.d}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\lambda) = 1$, where $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\lambda\} = L(D)$ is the set of distinct lengths of the directed cycles of D . A is primitive iff $D(A)$ is primitive.

Definition 1.2 ([1]) *Let X be the vertex subset of a primitive digraph D . The exponent $\text{exp}_D(X)$ is the smallest positive integer p such that for each vertex y of D , there exists a walk of length p from at least one vertex in X to y .*

Definition 1.3 ([1]) Let D be a primitive digraph of order n and $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Set

$$F(D, k) = \max\{\exp_D(X) \mid X \subseteq V(D), |X| = k\}. \quad (1.1)$$

$F(D, k)$ is called the k th upper generalized exponent of D .

It is obvious that

$$F(A, k) = F(D(A), k). \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1.4 Let a_1, \dots, a_k be positive integers. The Frobenius set $S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ of the numbers a_1, \dots, a_k is defined as

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k x_i a_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ are nonnegative integers} \right\}.$$

It is well-known, by a lemma of Schur, that if $\text{g.c.d.}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$, then $S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ contains all sufficiently large nonnegative integers. In this case we define the Frobenius number $\phi(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ to be the least integer ϕ such that $m \in S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ for all integers $m \geq \phi$.

For the case $k = 2$, it is well-known that if a and b are relatively prime positive integers, then the Frobenius number is

$$\phi(a, b) = (a - 1)(b - 1). \quad (1.3)$$

It is easy to see the following result.

Lemma 1.5 Let X be a set of k vertices of a primitive digraph D of order n and $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Let $R = \{r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_k}\} \subseteq L(D)$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_k}) = 1$. Let $d_R(i, j)$ be the length of the shortest walk from vertex i to vertex j in D which meets at least one cycle of each length r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_k} . Let $d_R(X) = \max_{j \in V(D)} \min_{i \in X} d_R(i, j)$ and $\phi_R = \phi(r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_k})$. Then we have

$$\exp_D(X) \leq d_R(X) + \phi_R. \quad (1.4)$$

Let QB_n be the set of $n \times n$ ($n > 8$) non-symmetric primitive matrices with at least one pair of nonzero symmetric entries, QB_n^+ the set of matrices in QB_n with nonzero trace and QB_n^0 the set of matrices in QB_n with zero trace. For each positive integer $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, let E_{nk} be the set of k th upper generalized exponents of the matrices in QB_n , E_{nk}^+ the set of k th upper generalized exponents of the matrices in QB_n^+ and E_{nk}^0 the set of the k th upper generalized exponents of the matrices in QB_n^0 . In this paper, we give the complete characterizations of E_{nk}^+ and E_{nk}^0 , so that the k th upper generalized exponent set problem for QB_n is settled.

Notice that if $k = 1$, then $F(A, k) = \gamma(A)$. In this case, the exponent sets E_{n1}^+ and E_{n1}^0 have already been determined in [3]. So we will only consider the cases $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$.

We will make use of the following notations. Let D be an primitive digraph with $D = (V(D), E(D))$. Let C_r be a cycle of length r (called an r -cycle). We denote the distance from vertex x to vertex y of D by $d(x, y)$. If $i, j \in V(D)$, then (i, j) denotes an arc from vertex i to vertex j and $[i, j]$ denotes a edge between two vertices i and j , i.e. a 2-cycle.

2 The generalized exponent set E_{nk}^+

In this section we will determine the generalized exponent set E_{nk}^+ .

Theorem 2.1 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and $A \in QB_n^+$. Then*

$$F(D(A), k) \leq 2n - k - 2. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let X be any k -vertex subset of $D(A)$, w a loop of $D(A)$ and $[u, v]$ a edge of $D(A)$.

Case 1: $w \in X$. Then $\exp_{D(A)}(X) \leq \max_{y \in V(D(A))} d(w, y) \leq n - 1 \leq 2n - k - 2$.

Case 2: $\{u, v\} \subseteq X$. Then $\exp_{D(A)}(X) \leq \max_{y \in V(D(A))} \min\{d(u, y), d(v, y)\} \leq n - 2 < 2n - k - 2$.

Other cases: Let $l = \max_{y \in V(D(A))} d(w, y)$ and $h = \min_{x \in X} d(x, w)$. Then $l \leq n - 1$ and $h \leq n - k$.

(1) $l \leq n - 2$ or $h \leq n - k - 1$. Then $\exp_{D(A)}(X) \leq h + l \leq 2n - k - 2$.

(2) $l = n - 1$ and $h = n - k$. Then $\exp_{D(A)}(X) \leq n \leq 2n - k - 2$.

The proof of the theorem is completed. ■

Theorem 2.2 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2n - k - 2\} \subseteq E_{nk}^+. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Suppose $k + 1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Firstly, we consider $D_1 = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D_1) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D_1) = \{(1, 1), [1, 2], (2, 3), (3, 4), \dots, (m - 1, m), (m, m + 1), (m, m + 2), \dots, (m, n), (m + 1, 1), (m + 2, 1), \dots, (n, 1)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^+$. Take $X_0 = \{3, 4, \dots, k + 2\}$. It is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $2m - k - 1$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex $m + 1$. So we have

$$F(D_1, k) \geq \exp_{D_1}(X_0) \geq 2m - k. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of D_1 . If $\{1, 2\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\exp_{D_1}(X) \leq m + 1 \leq 2m - k. \quad (2.4)$$

If $\{1, 2\} \cap X = \emptyset$, letting i be the vertex of X which is closest to 1, then $d(i, 1) \leq m + 1 - k - 2 + 1 = m - k$ and so

$$\exp_{D_1}(X) \leq m - k + m = 2m - k. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$F(D_1, k) = 2m - k. \quad (2.6)$$

Next, we consider $D_2 = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D_2) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D_2) = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), [1, 2], (2, 3), (3, 4), \dots, (m - 1, m), (m, m + 1), (m, m + 2), \dots, (m, n), (m + 1, 1), (m + 2, 1), \dots, (n, 1), (m + 1, 2), (m + 2, 2), \dots, (n, 2)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^+$. Take $X_0 = \{3, 4, \dots, k + 2\}$. It is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $2m - k - 2$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex $m + 1$. Then $F(D_2, k) \geq \exp_{D_2}(X_0) \geq 2m - k - 1$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of D_2 . If $\{1, 2\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then $\exp_{D_2}(X) \leq m \leq 2m - k - 1$. If $\{1, 2\} \cap X = \emptyset$, letting j be the vertex of X which is closest to 2, then $d(j, 2) \leq m + 1 - k - 2 + 1 = m - k$ and $\exp_{D_2}(X) \leq m - k + m - 1 = 2m - k - 1$.

So we have

$$F(D_2, k) = 2m - k - 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that $k + 1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Combining (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain (2.2). ■

Theorem 2.3 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$\{2, 3, \dots, k\} \subseteq E_{nk}^+. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Suppose $2 \leq m \leq k$. We consider $D_2 = D(A)$ in theorem 2.2.

Take $X_0 = \{n, n - 1, \dots, n - k + 1\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$. Since $n - k + 1 \geq 3$, it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $m - 1$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex $m + 1$. Then $F(D_2, k) \geq \exp_{D_2}(X_0) \geq m$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of D_2 . If $1 \in X$, then $\exp_{D_2}(X) \leq m$. If $1 \notin X$, then $X \cap \{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n\} \neq \emptyset$ and so $\exp_{D_2}(X) \leq m$.

So we have $F(D_2, k) = m$. Noticing that $2 \leq m \leq k$, we obtain (2.8). ■

Theorem 2.4 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$E_{nk}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2n - k - 2\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We consider $D = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D) = \{(i, j) \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{(2, 1)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^+$ and $F(D, k) = 1$. So $1 \in E_{nk}^+$.

Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8) we obtain (2.9). ■

3 The generalized exponent set E_{nk}^0

In this section we will determine the generalized exponent set E_{nk}^0 .

Lemma 3.1 ([2]) *Suppose Γ is primitive digraph of order n and s is the length of the shortest directed cycles of Γ . Then*

$$F(\Gamma, k) \leq (n - k)s + (n - s), \quad (1 \leq k \leq n - 1). \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.2 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$.*

(1) *If n is even, then*

$$\{11, 12, \dots, 3n - 2k - 3\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0. \tag{3.2}$$

(2) *If n is odd, then*

$$\{11, 12, \dots, 3n - 2k - 5, 3n - 2k - 4, 3n - 2k - 2\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Firstly, let $4 \leq s \leq m \leq n - 1$ and $m - s = 0 \pmod{2}$. We consider $D_1(m) = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D_1(m)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D_1(m)) = \{[1, 2], (2, 3), (2, 4), \dots, (2, s-1), (3, s), (4, s), \dots, (s-1, s), (s, s+1), (s+1, s+2), \dots, (m, m+1), (m, m+2), \dots, (m, n), (m+1, 1), (m+2, 1), \dots, (n, 1)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$. Let $R = \{2, m - s + 5\}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $k \leq n - 4$ and $\max\{4, 2k - m + 4\} \leq s \leq k + 3 \leq m \leq n - 1$. In this case, we will prove that

$$F(D_1(m), k) = 3m - 2k - s + 5. \quad (3.4)$$

Take $X_0 = \{3, 4, \dots, s - 1, s + 1, s + 3, \dots, 2k - s + 5\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and $2k - s + 5 \leq m + 1$. It is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of even length $3m - 2k - s + 4$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex $m + 1$. So we have $F(D_1(m), k) \geq \exp_{D_1(m)}(X_0) \geq 3m - 2k - s + 5$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_1(m)$. If $\{1, 2\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then by (1.4) we have $\exp_{D_1(m)}(X) \leq d(1, m+1) + \phi(2, m-s+5) \leq 3m - 2k - s + 5$. If there are vertices $i, j \in X$ such that $(i, j) \in E(D_1(m))$, then $\exp_{D_1(m)}(X) \leq \max_{y \in V(D_1(m))} d(j, y) \leq 3m - 2k - s + 5$. In addition, letting l be the vertex of X which is closest to 1, we have $1 \leq d(l, 1) \leq m + 1 - 2k + s - 5 + 1 = m + s - 2k - 3$ and $\exp_{D_1(m)}(X) \leq d(l, 1) + m - s + 4 + \phi(2, m - s + 5) \leq 3m - 2k - s + 5$.

So we obtain (3.4). By hypotheses we also have the following.

(i) If $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is odd and } 3m - 3k + 2 \leq i \leq 4m - 4k + 1\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad (k+3 \leq m \leq 2k). \quad (3.5)$$

(ii) If $\frac{n-1}{2} \leq k \leq n - 4$, then

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is odd and } 3m - 3k + 2 \leq i \leq 4m - 4k + 1\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad (k+3 \leq m \leq n-1). \quad (3.6)$$

(iii) If $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is odd and } 3m - 3k + 2 \leq i \leq 3m - 2k + 1\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad (2k \leq m \leq n-1). \quad (3.7)$$

Case 2: $m = n - 1$, $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n - 2$ and $4 \leq s \leq 2k - n + 3$. In this case, we will prove that

$$F(D_1(n-1), k) = 3n - 2k - s + 2. \quad (3.8)$$

Take $X_0 = \{2, 3, 4, \dots, 2k - n + 1, 2k - n + 2, 2k - n + 4, \dots, n\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of even length $3n - 2k - s + 1$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So we have $F(D_1(n-1), k) \geq \exp_{D_1(n-1)}(X_0) \geq 3n - 2k - s + 2$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_1(n-1)$. There are adjacent vertices of $D_1(n-1)$ in X . Let $l = \min\{d(j, 1) \mid j \in X\}$ and there exist $i \in X$ such that $(i, j) \in E(D_1(n-1))$, which implies that $l \leq 2n - 2k - 1$. Then $\exp_{D_1(n-1)}(X) \leq l + n - s + 3 \leq 3n - 2k - s + 2$.

We obtain (3.8). Noticing that $4 \leq s \leq 2k - n + 3$, we also have

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is odd and } 4n - 4k - 1 \leq i \leq 3n - 2k - 2\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad \left(\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n-2\right). \quad (3.9)$$

Next, let $4 \leq s < m \leq n - 1$ and $m - s = 1 \pmod{2}$. We consider $D_2(m) = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D_2(m)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D_2(m)) = \{[1, 2], (2, 3), (2, 4), \dots, (2, s - 1), (3, s), (4, s), \dots, (s - 1, s), (s, s + 1), (s + 1, s + 2), \dots, (m - 1, m), (m, m + 1), (m, m + 2), \dots, (m, n), (m + 1, 2), (m + 2, 2), \dots, (n, 2), (m, 1)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$. Let $R = \{2, m - s + 4\}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $k \leq n - 5$ and $\max\{4, 2k - m + 5\} \leq s \leq k + 3 < m \leq n - 1$. In this case, we will prove that

$$F(D_2(m), k) = 3m - 2k - s + 3. \quad (3.10)$$

Take $X_0 = \{3, 4, \dots, s - 1, s + 1, s + 3, \dots, 2k - s + 5\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and $2k - s + 5 \leq m$. It is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of odd length $3m - 2k - s + 2$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex $m + 1$. So we have $F(D_2(m), k) \geq \exp_{D_2(m)}(X_0) \geq 3m - 2k - s + 3$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_2(m)$. If $\{1, 2\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then by (1.4) we have $\exp_{D_2(m)}(X) \leq d(1, m + 1) + \phi(2, m - s + 5) \leq 3m - 2k - s + 3$. If there are vertices $i, j \in X$ such that $(i, j) \in E(D_2(m))$, then $\exp_{D_2(m)}(X) \leq \max_{y \in V(D_2(m))} d(j, y) < 3m - 2k - s + 3$. In addition, letting l be the vertex of X which is closest to 2, we have $1 \leq d(l, 2) \leq m + 1 - 2k + s - 5 + 1 = m + s - 2k - 3$ and $\exp_{D_2(m)}(X) \leq d(l, 2) + m - s + 3 + \phi(2, m - s + 4) \leq 3m - 2k - s + 3$.

So we obtain (3.10). By hypotheses we also have the following.

(i) If $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$, then

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is even and } 3m - 3k \leq i \leq 4m - 4k - 2\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad (k + 4 \leq m \leq 2k + 1). \quad (3.11)$$

(ii) If $\frac{n-2}{2} \leq k \leq n - 5$, then

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is even and } 3m - 3k \leq i \leq 4m - 4k - 2\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad (k + 4 \leq m \leq n - 1). \quad (3.12)$$

(iii) If $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$, then

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is even and } 3m - 3k \leq i \leq 3m - 2k - 1\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad (2k + 1 \leq m \leq n - 1). \quad (3.13)$$

Case 2: $m = n - 1$, $\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n - 2$ and $4 \leq s \leq 2k - n + 4$. In this case, we will prove that

$$F(D_2(n - 1), k) = 3n - 2k - s. \quad (3.14)$$

Take $X_0 = \{2, 3, 4, \dots, 2k - n + 2, 2k - n + 3, 2k - n + 5, \dots, n - 1\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of odd length $3n - 2k - s - 1$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So we have $F(D_2(n - 1), k) \geq \exp_{D_2(n-1)}(X_0) \geq 3n - 2k - s$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_2(n - 1)$. There are adjacent vertices of $D_2(n - 1)$ in X . Let $l = \min\{d(j, 2) \mid j \in X \text{ and there exist } i \in$

X such that $(i, j) \in E(D_2(n-1))$, which implies that $l \leq 2n - 2k - 2$. Then $\exp_{D_2(n-1)}(X) \leq l + n - s + 2 \leq 3n - 2k - s$.

So we obtain (3.14). Noticing that $4 \leq s \leq 2k - n + 4$ we also have

$$\{i \mid i \text{ is even and } 4n - 4k - 4 \leq i \leq 3n - 2k - 4\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0, \quad \left(\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n - 2\right). \quad (3.15)$$

The theorem now follows from (3.5)–(3.7), (3.9) and (3.11)–(3.13), (3.15). ■

Theorem 3.3 *Let n be odd and $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$3n - 2k - 3 \in E_{nk}^0. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. We consider $D = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{4, 5\}, \dots, \{n-1, n\}, \dots, \{n, 1\}\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$. Let $R = \{2, n\}$. We will prove that

$$F(D, k) = 3n - 2k - 3. \quad (3.17)$$

Case 1: $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Take $X_0 = \{4, 6, \dots, 2k + 2\}$ (if $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then $X_0 = \{4, 6, \dots, n-1, 1\}$). Then $|X_0| = k$ and there is no walk of odd length $3n - 2k - 4$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So $F(D, k) \geq 3n - 2k - 3$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of D . If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then by (1.4) we have $\exp_D(X) \leq n - 1 + n - 1 \leq 3n - 2k - 3$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X = \emptyset$ and there are adjacent vertices of D in X , then $\exp_D(X) \leq n - 5 + n < 3n - 2k - 3$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X = \emptyset$ and there are not adjacent vertices of D in X , then $k \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$. By (1.4) we have $\exp_D(X) \leq n - 2k - 2 + n + n - 1 = 3n - 2k - 3$.

So we obtain (3.17) for $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Case 2: $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n - 2$. Take $X_0 = \{1, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 2k - n + 3, 2k - n + 5, \dots, n - 1\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and there is no walk of odd length $3n - 2k - 4$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So $F(D, k) \geq 3n - 2k - 3$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of D . There are adjacent vertices of D in X . Let $l = \min\{d(j, 1) \mid j \in X \text{ and there exist } i \in X \text{ such that } (i, j) \in E(D)\}$, which implies that $l \leq 2n - 2k - 2$. Then $\exp_D(X) \leq l + n - 1 \leq 3n - 2k - s$.

So we obtain (3.17) for $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq k \leq n - 2$.

Now it is straight forward to obtain (3.16) from Case 1 and Case 2. ■

Lemma 3.4 ([4]) *Let digraph D^t be the digraph with the same vertex set as D in which there is an arc from x to y iff there is a walk of length t from x to y in D . If D is a primitive digraph, then for any positive integer t , D^t is a primitive digraph.*

Theorem 3.5 *Let n be even.*

(1) *If $\frac{n+4}{2} \leq k \leq n - 2$, then*

$$3n - 2k - 2 \in E_{nk}^0. \quad (3.18)$$

(2) *If $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n+2}{2}$ and $A \in QB_n^0$, then*

$$F(A, k) \leq 3n - 2k - 3. \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. (1) $\frac{n+4}{2} \leq k \leq n-2$. We consider $D = D(A)$ with vertex set $V(D) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D) = \{(n-2, n-1), (n-1, n), (n, n-4), (n-3, n-4), (n-4, n-5), \dots, (4, 3), (3, 2), (3, n-2), [2, 1], (1, n-3)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$. Take $X_0 = V(D) \setminus \{2, 4, \dots, 2(n-k)\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . By (3.1) we have $F(D, k) = 3n-2k-2$. This implies that $3n-2k-2 \in E_{nk}^0$.

(2) $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n+2}{2}$ and $A \in QB_n^0$. Let D be the associated digraph of A whose shortest odd cycle length is r ($3 \leq r \leq n-1$) and $C_2 = [u, v]$ the 2-cycle of D . Let X be any k -vertex subset of D and y any vertex of D . In the following we only need to prove that there is a vertex $x \in X$ and a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from x to y .

Let $q = \min\{d(u, y), d(v, y)\}$. If $q \leq n-3$, then we can take a vertex v of C_2 such that there is a walk of length $n-3$ from v to y . Consider that digraph D^2 . Since v is a loop of D^2 , there is a vertex x in X such that there exists a walk of length $n-k$ from x to v in D^2 . Hence there is a walk of length $2(n-k)$ from x to v in D . According to above arguments, there is a walk of length $2(n-k) + n-3 = 3n-2k-3$ from x to y .

If $q = n-2$. Let $d(v, y) = n-2$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: There are not adjacent vertices of D in X . Let x_0 be the vertex of X which is closest to v . Then for each positive integer p with $p \geq d(x_0, v) + n-2 + \phi(2, r)$, there exists a walk of length p from x_0 to y .

Subcase 1: $\{u, v\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$. If $u \in X$, then for each positive odd integer $p \geq n-1$, there is a walk of length p from u to y . This implies that there is a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from u to y . If $v \in X$, noticing that $n-2 + \phi(2, r) \leq n-2 + 2(n-k) - 2 = 3n-2k-4$, then there is a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from v to y .

Subcase 2: $\{u, v\} \cap X = \emptyset$ and there exists C_r such that $V(C_r) \cap X = \emptyset$. Then $r \leq n-k$. Since $d(x_0, v) + n-2 + \phi(2, r) \leq n-k + n-2 + n-k-1 = 3n-2k-3$, there is a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from x_0 to y .

Subcase 3: $\{u, v\} \cap X = \emptyset$ and there exists C_r such that $V(C_r) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Let $|V(C_r) \cap X| = m$ ($2 \leq m \leq k$). Then $d(x_0, v) \leq n-k - (m-1)$. When $m < k$ we have $n-k - (r-m) \geq k-m-1$, namely, $r \leq n-2k+2m+1$.

If $m \leq k-2$, then $d(x_0, v) + n-2 + \phi(2, r) \leq 3n-3k+m-1 \leq 3n-2k-3$. If $m = k$, then $d(x_0, v) + n-2 + \phi(2, r) \leq n-k - (k-1) + n-2 + n-2 = 3n-2k-3$. If $m = k-1$, noticing $r \neq n-1$, then $d(x_0, v) + n-2 + \phi(2, r) \leq n-k - (k-2) + n-2 + n-4 < 3n-2k-3$. Hence, there is a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from x_0 to y .

Case 2: There are adjacent vertices of D in X . Let $l = \min\{d(j, v) \mid j \in X \text{ and there exist } i \in X \text{ such that } (i, j) \in E(D)\}$.

Subcase 1: $l \leq 2(n-k) - 1$. Since $v \in V(C_2)$, there is a vertex x in X such that there exists a walk of length $2(n-k) - 1$ from x to v . Therefore there is a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from x to y .

Subcase 2: $l = 2(n-k)$ and $r \leq 2(n-k) - 1$. Then $v \in X$ and there is a walk of length p from v to y for each positive integer p with $p \geq n-2 + \phi(2, r)$. Therefore there is a walk of length $3n-2k-3$ from v to y .

Subcase 3: $l = 2(n-k)$ and $r \geq 2(n-k) + 1$. Then $v \in X, u \notin X, k = \frac{n+2}{2}, r = n-1$ and $3n-2k-3 = 2n-5$. It is obvious that at least one of u and v is on

C_{n-1} for each odd cycle C_{n-1} . If there exists a vertex x in X such that $d(x, y)$ is even and $2 \leq d(x, y) \leq n - 4$, since x is in $V(C_{n-1})$, then there is a walk of length p from x to y for each positive odd integer $p \geq d(x, y) + n - 1$. This implies that there is a walk of length $2n - 5$ from x to y . Otherwise, it is obvious that $y \in X$ and $X = V(D) \setminus \{u, i \mid d(i, y) \text{ is even and } 2 \leq d(i, y) \leq n - 4\}$. We consider two cases.

(a) If there exists C_{n-1} , such that $y \in V(C_{n-1})$. Noticing that $y \in X$, there is a walk of length p from y to y for each positive odd integer $p \geq n - 1$. Therefore there is a walk of length $2n - 5$ from y to y .

(b) If $y \notin V(C_{n-1})$ for each odd cycle C_{n-1} . Since D is a strongly connected digraph, there exists C_m ($4 \leq m \leq n$), such that $y \in V(C_m)$. If $m = n$, letting x be vertex such that $d(x, y) = n - 5$, then $x \in X$ and there is a walk of length $2n - 5$ from x to y . If $m = n - 2$, letting x be vertex such that $d(x, y) = n - 3$, then $x \in X$ and there is a walk of length $2n - 5$ from x to y . If $m \leq n - 4$, then there is a walk of length p from y to y for each positive odd integer $p \geq m + n - 1$. Therefore there is a walk of length $2n - 5$ from y to y .

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Theorem 3.6 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$\{4, 5, \dots, 2n - k - 2\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Suppose $4 \leq m \leq n$. Let $D_3(m), D_4(m)$ be the digraphs of order n with vertex sets $V(D_3(m)) = V(D_4(m)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc sets $E(D_3(m)) = \{[1, 2], [1, 3], [2, 3], (3, 4), (4, 5), \dots, (m - 1, m), \dots, (m, m + 1), (m, m + 2), \dots, (m, n), (m + 1, 1), (m + 2, 1), \dots, (n, 1)\}$, $E(D_4(m)) = \{[1, 2], [1, 3], [2, 3], (3, 4), (4, 5), \dots, (m - 1, m), \dots, (m, m + 1), (m, m + 2), \dots, (m, n), (m + 1, 1), (m + 2, 1), \dots, (n, 1), (m + 1, 3), (m + 2, 3), \dots, (n, 3)\}$.

It is obvious that the adjacency matrices of $D_3(m)$ and $D_4(m)$ belong to QE_n^0 .

(1) Firstly, we will prove that if $4 \leq m \leq k + 2$ then

$$F(D_3(m), k) = m. \quad (3.21)$$

Take $X_0 = \{3, 4, 5, \dots, k + 2\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $m - 1$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So we have $F(D_3(m), k) \geq m$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_3(m)$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then $\exp_{D_3(m)}(X) \leq m$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X = \emptyset$, then $\{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $\exp_{D_3(m)}(X) \leq m$.

Hence (3.21) holds.

(2) Secondly, we will prove that if $k \leq n - 3$ and $k + 3 \leq m \leq n$ then

$$F(D_3(m), k) = 2m - k - 2. \quad (3.22)$$

Take $X_0 = \{4, 5, \dots, k + 3\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $2m - k - 3$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So we have $F(D_3(m), k) \geq 2m - k - 2$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_3(m)$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then $\exp_{D_3(m)}(X) \leq m$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X = \emptyset$, then $\exp_{D_3(m)}(X) \leq m+1-k-3+m = 2m-k-2$.

So (3.22) holds.

(3) Thirdly, we will prove that if $k \leq n-3$ and $k+3 \leq m \leq n$ then

$$F(D_4(m), k) = 2m - k - 3. \quad (3.23)$$

Take $X_0 = \{4, 5, \dots, k+3\}$. Then $|X_0| = k$ and it is not difficult to verify that there is no walk of length $2m-k-4$ from any vertex of X_0 to the vertex n . So we have $F(D_4(m), k) \geq 2m-k-3$.

On the other hand, let X be any k -vertex subset of $D_4(m)$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then $\exp_{D_4(m)}(X) \leq m$. If $\{1, 2, 3\} \cap X = \emptyset$, then $\exp_{D_4(m)}(X) \leq m+1-k-3+m-1 = 2m-k-3$.

So (3.23) holds.

The theorem now follows from (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23). ■

Theorem 3.7 *If $k = 2$, then $\{2, 3\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0$. If $3 \leq k \leq n-2$, then $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq E_{nk}^0$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let $D(A)$ be the digraph of order n with vertex set $V(D(A)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D(A)) = \{[1, 2], [2, 3], [2, 4], \dots, [2, n], (3, 1), (4, 1), \dots, (n, 1)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$ and $F(D(A), k) = 2$. So $2 \in E_{nk}^0$.

(2) Suppose $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let $D(A)$ be the digraph of order n with vertex set $V(D(A)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D(A)) = \{[1, 2], (2, 3), (2, 4), \dots, (2, n), [3, 1], [4, 1], \dots, [n, 1]\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$ and $F(D(A), k) = 3$. So $3 \in E_{nk}^0$.

(3) Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let $D(A)$ be the digraph of order n with vertex set $V(D(A)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arc set $E(D(A)) = \{(i, j) \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } i \neq j\} \setminus \{(2, 1)\}$.

It is obvious that $A \in QB_n^0$ and $F(D(A), k) = 1$. So $1 \in E_{nk}^0$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Theorem 3.8 *Let n, k be positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n-2$.*

(1) *If n is even and $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n+2}{2}$, then*

$$E_{nk}^0 = \{1, 2, \dots, 3n-2k-3\} \setminus S. \quad (3.24)$$

(2) *If n is even and $\frac{n+4}{2} \leq k \leq n-2$ or n is odd, then*

$$E_{nk}^0 = \{1, 2, \dots, 3n-2k-2\} \setminus S. \quad (3.25)$$

where $S = \{1\}$ when $k = 2$, otherwise $S = \emptyset$. ■

References

- [1] Brualdi R.A. and Liu Bolian, Generalized exponents of primitive directed graphs, *J. of Graph Theory*, **14** (1990), 483–499.
- [2] Liu Bolian and Li Qiaoliang, On a conjecture about the generalized exponent of primitive matrices, *J. of Graph Theory*, **2** (1994), 177–179.
- [3] Li Yuqi, Completely characterize the exponent set for the class of non-symmetric primitive matrices with nonzero symmetry elements, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **5** (1986), 637–642.
- [4] Dulmage A. L. and Mendelson N. S. , Gaps in the exponent set of primitive matrices, *Ill. J. Math.* **8** (1964), 642–656.

(Received 3/6/98)

