The strong matching number of a random graph

Lane Clark

Department of Mathematics Southern Illinois University at Carbondale Carbondale, IL 62901-4408, U.S.A. lclark@math.siu.edu

Abstract

The strong matching number $\operatorname{sm}(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum number of edges in G that induces a matching in the graph. For fixed 0 , El Maftouhi and Marquez Gordones [Australasian Journalof Combinatorics**10** $(1994), 97–104] showed that <math>\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p})$ is one of only a finite number of values for a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$. We show that, in fact, $\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p})$ is one of only two possible values for a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$; determine the probability of attaining each value; and find the limiting distribution of the number of maximum strong matchings in $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$.

1. Introduction

The vertex set (edge set) of a finite simple undirected graph G is denoted by V(G) (E(G)). The order (size) of G is |V(G)| (|E(G)|). For $\phi \neq S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph G[S] of G induced by S has vertex set S and edge set those edges of G both ends of which are in S. A set $M \subseteq E(G)$ is a matching of G provided no two edges in M have a common end-vertex. A matching M of G is a **strong matching** if and only if M = E(G[S]) where S = S(M) is the set of all end-vertices of edges in M (i.e., G[S] is a 1-regular induced subgraph of G). Equivalently, a strong matching of G is a set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ of pair-wise vertex-disjoint edges of G such that no edge of G connects an end-vertex of e_i with an end-vertex of e_j for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$. Observe that G has a strong matching of size ℓ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ and that any edge of G is itself a strong matching. The **strong matching number** sm(G) of G is the maximum number of edges in a strong matching of G (here sm(G) = 0 for the empty graph). Though not expressed in terms of the above parameter, [4, 5, 7] contain related results. The concept and the notation sm(G), though not the terminology, appear in [6].

The probability space $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ consists of all graphs with vertex set $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ in which edges are chosen independently with probability p = p(n). For a random graph $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$, $\Pr(G_{n,p}) = p^m q^{N-m}$ when $G_{n,p}$ has size m where q = 1 - p and $N = {n \choose 2}$. A class of graphs which is closed under isomorphism

Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 24(2001), pp.47-57

is called a property of graphs. We say almost every (a.e.) $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$ has a property Q provided $\Pr(G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p) \text{ has } Q) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty$. As usual, E(Y)and $\operatorname{Var}(Y)$ denote the expectation and variance of Y. A random variable having Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda > 0$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Po}(\lambda)$ and one having normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1 by N(0,1). We write $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} Y$ when the sequence Y_n converges in distribution to Y.

Recently, El Maftouhi and Marquez Gordones [4] showed that for fixed $0 , sm<math>(G_{n,p})$ is concentrated for a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$. Throughout, d = 1/(1-p) = 1/q.

Theorem (El Maftouhi and Marquez Gordones [4]). For fixed $0 , there exist positive constants <math>c_1$ and c_2 depending only on p such that:

- (1) a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$ contains a strong matching of size m for each m satisfying $m \leq \log_d n \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n c_1$.
- (2) a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$ does not contain a strong matching of size m for each m satisfying $m \geq \log_d n \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c_2$.

We show that, in fact, $\operatorname{sm}(\tilde{G}_{n,p})$ is one of only two possible values for a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$; determine the probability of attaining each value; and find the limiting distribution of the number of maximum strong matchings in $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$. More precisely we prove the following results.

Theorem. Fix $0 and let <math>m = \left\lceil \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c + \frac{1}{2} \log_d \left(\frac{ep}{2}\right) \right\rceil$. For all constant $0 < \delta < 2 - 2c$,

$$\Pr\left(m-1 \le \operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) \le m\right) = 1 - o(n^{-\delta}).$$

In fact, for all constant $0 < \delta' < 2c - 1$,

$$\Pr\left(\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m - 1\right) = e^{-\lambda_m} - o(n^{-\delta}) + o(n^{-\delta'})$$
$$\Pr\left(\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m\right) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_m} - o(n^{-\delta'}).$$

Here λ_m is the expected number of strong matchings of size m in $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$ and is given in (1) in the next section. In addition, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_m = \lambda \in (0,\infty)$, then

$$Y_m \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{Po}(\lambda)$$

while, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_m = \infty$, then

$$\frac{Y_m - \lambda_m}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Here $Y_m(G_{n,p})$ is the number of strong matchings of size m in $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$ and is defined in the next section.

We write $a \leq b$ to indicate that the inequality $a \leq b$ holds for all sufficiently large integers n. All other inequalities hold absolutely for the range of parameters being considered. We denote the nonnegative integers by \mathbb{N} , the positive integers by \mathbb{Z}^+ and the real numbers by \mathbb{R} . Recall that f(n) = o(g(n)) means that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$, $f(n) \gg g(n)$ that g(n) = o(f(n)) and $f(n) \sim g(n)$ that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_k = (x) \cdots (x - k + 1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Our notation and terminology generally follows Bollobás [3].

2. Results

For $n \ge 4m + 1 \ge 5$, let M_1, \ldots, M_t be the distinct *m*-matchings (i.e., having precisely *m* edges) in [*n*] and $S_i = S(M_i)$ be the set of all 2*m* end-vertices of edges in M_i $(1 \le i \le t)$. Here $t = (n)_{2m}/m! 2^m \sim n^{2m}/m! 2^m$ as $n \to \infty$ when $m = o(n^{1/2})$. For $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$, let

 $X_i(G_{n,p}) := \begin{cases} 1 & , M_i \text{ is a strong matching in } G_{n,p} ; \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$

hence,

$$E(X_i) = p^m q^M$$
; $M := {\binom{2m}{2}} - m = 2m^2 - 2m$,

since the edge set of $G_{n,p}[S_i]$ is precisely M_i . Let

$$Y_m = Y_{m,n} := \sum_{i=1}^t X_i,$$

hence, for $m = o(n^{1/2})$,

$$\lambda_m = \lambda_{m,n} := E(Y_m) = \frac{p^m q^M(n)_{2m}}{m! \, 2^m} \sim \frac{p^m q^M n^{2m}}{m! \, 2^m} := \widetilde{\lambda}_{m,n} = \widetilde{\lambda}_m \tag{1}$$

(in fact, $\lambda_m \leq \tilde{\lambda}_m$). If $E(X_i X_j) \neq 0$, then $ab \in M_i$ if and only if $ab \in M_j$ whenever $a, b \in S_i \cap S_j$. Hence, M_j must consist of k edges of M_i ; ℓ other edges each adjacent to precisely one edge of M_i ; and $m - k - \ell$ other edges each adjacent to no edge of M_i . Necessarily, $0 \leq k + \ell \leq m$ and $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$ for $i \neq j$. Hence, for each $1 \leq i \leq t$,

$$\sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \ge 2\\ i \neq j}} E(X_i X_j) = \sum_{\substack{2 \le 2k + \ell \le 2m - 1\\ 0 \le k + \ell \le m \\ 0 \le k \le m - 1\\ 0 \le \ell}} \left\{ \binom{m}{k} \binom{m-k}{\ell} 2^{\ell} \binom{n-2m}{2m-2k-\ell} (2m-2k-\ell) e^{2\ell} + \frac{2m-2k-\ell}{2m-k} + \frac{2m-2k-\ell}{2m-k-\ell} \right\}$$

$$\times \frac{\binom{2m-2k-2\ell}{2,\dots,2}}{(m-k-\ell)!} p^{2m-k} q^{2M-\binom{2k+\ell}{2}+k} k^{2m-2k-\ell} = \sum_{m} \binom{m}{k,\ell,m-k-\ell} \frac{p^{2m-k} q^{2M-\binom{2k+\ell}{2}+k} n^{2m-2k-\ell}}{2^{m-k-2\ell}(m-k-\ell)!}.$$
(2)

Here, we first choose the k common edges of M_i and M_j ; then choose the ends of ℓ other edges of M_i ; next choose the remaining $2m - 2k - \ell$ vertices of $S_j - S_i$; then match these ℓ (ordered) vertices in S_i with ℓ vertices of $S_j - S_i$; and finally match the remaining $2m - 2k - 2\ell$ vertices of $S_j - S_i$. Note that each $G_{n,p}[S_i] \cup G_{n,p}[S_j]$ has the same set of 2m - k edges and the same set of $2M - \binom{2k+\ell}{2} + k$ nonedges.

We note that (by independence),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \le 1\\ i \neq j}} E(X_i X_j) \le E(Y_m)^2$$

so that,

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y_m) \le \lambda_m + \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \ge 2\\ i \ne j}} E(X_i X_j).$$
(3)

In what follows 0 is constant, <math>q = 1 - p, d = 1/q and $m = \log_d n - \frac{1}{2}\log_d \log_d n + c(n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where c(n) is a bounded function. We will estimate (2) generally for these parameters and apply these estimates to specific such m in Theorems 1, 2 and Corollary 3.

Let $T := \log_d(3d^2) > 2$. Now,

$$S_{1} := \sum_{\substack{2 \leq 2k+\ell \leq 2T \\ 0 \leq k+\ell \leq m \\ 0 \leq k,\ell}} \binom{m}{k,\ell,m-k-\ell} \frac{p^{2m-k}q^{2M-\binom{2k+\ell}{2}+k}n^{2m-2k-\ell}}{2^{m-k-2\ell}(m-k-\ell)!}$$
$$\leq \frac{p^{2m}q^{2M}n^{2m}}{m!\,2^{m}} \sum_{n} \frac{2^{k+2\ell}d^{\binom{2k+\ell}{2}}m^{2k+2\ell}}{p^{k}n^{2k+\ell}}$$
$$\leq \frac{\widehat{c}\,p^{2m}q^{2M}m^{4T}n^{2m-2}}{m!\,2^{m}} = \frac{\widehat{c}\,\widetilde{\lambda}_{m}p^{m}q^{M}m^{4T}}{n^{2}}, \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{c} = 4T^2(16p^{-1})^T d^{2T^2}$. We next need to carefully estimate the terms in (2). For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \le k + \ell \le m$, let

$$f(k,\ell) := \frac{2^{k+2\ell} d^{\binom{2k+\ell}{2}} q^k}{p^k n^{2k+\ell} (m-k-\ell)!} \le \frac{n^{(2k+\ell) \left\{\frac{2k+\ell}{2\log_d n} - 1 + \frac{\log_d (4p^{-1})}{\log_d n}\right\}}}{(m-k-\ell)!}.$$

If $m/2 \leq 2k + \ell \leq 2\log_d n - 4\log_d m$,

$$1 - \frac{2k + \ell}{2\log_d n} - \frac{\log_d(4p^{-1})}{\log_d n} - \frac{T}{2k + \ell} \stackrel{*}{\geq} \frac{7\log_d m}{4\log_d n} \stackrel{*}{>} 0$$

so that,

$$f(k,\ell) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{1}{m! \, n^T}.\tag{5}$$

Next, if $2T \leq 2k + \ell \leq m/2$,

$$1 - \frac{2k + \ell}{2\log_d n} - \frac{\log_d(4p^{-1})}{\log_d n} - \frac{T}{2k + \ell} \stackrel{*}{\ge} \frac{1}{5}$$

and, again,

$$f(k,\ell) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{1}{m!\,n^T}.\tag{6}$$

Hence, (5), (6) and the Multinomial Theorem imply

$$S_{2} := \sum_{\substack{2T \leq 2k + \ell \leq 2\log_{d} n - 4\log_{d} m \\ 0 \leq k + \ell \leq m \\ 0 \leq k, \ell}} \binom{m}{k, \ell, m - k - \ell} \frac{p^{2m - k} q^{2M - \binom{2^{k} + \ell}{2} + k} n^{2m - 2k - \ell}}{2^{m - k - 2\ell} (m - k - \ell)!}$$

$$\stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{p^{2m} q^{2M} n^{2m}}{m! \, 2^m n^T} \sum_{n} \binom{m}{k, \ell, m - k - \ell}$$

$$\leq \frac{3^m p^{2m} q^{2M} n^{2m}}{m! \, 2^m n^T} \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_m p^m q^M}{n^2}.$$
(7)

For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq k + \ell \leq m - 1$,

$$f(k, \ell+1) = rac{4(m-k-\ell)d^{2k+\ell}}{n}f(k, \ell).$$

If, in addition, $(5 \log_d n)/4 \le 2k + \ell$,

$$\frac{4(m-k-\ell)d^{2k+\ell}}{n} \ge 4n^{1/4} \ge 1$$

so that $(2k + \ell \leq 2k + m - k \text{ here})$,

$$f(k,\ell) \le f(k,m-k) \\ \le n^{(m+k)\left\{\frac{m+k}{2\log_d n} - 1\right\} + \frac{(m+k)\log_d(4p^{-1})}{\log_d n}}$$

If $2\log_d n - 4\log_d m \le 2k + \ell$,

$$2k + \ell \stackrel{*}{\geq} \frac{5}{4} \log_d n \; ; \quad k \stackrel{*}{\geq} \frac{\log_d n}{4} \; ; \quad m + k \stackrel{*}{>} \, \log_d n$$

and (by considering the derivative with respect to real k),

$$(m+k) - \frac{(m+k)^2}{2\log_d n}$$
 decreases as k increases for all sufficiently large n.

If, further, $k \leq m - \log_d m$,

$$(m+k) - \frac{(m+k)^2}{2\log_d n} \stackrel{*}{\ge} (2m - \log_d m) - \frac{(2m - \log_d m)^2}{2\log_d n} \stackrel{*}{\ge} \frac{5}{4}\log_d \log_d n$$

and $((m+k)/\log_d n \text{ is bounded})$

$$(m+k) - \frac{(m+k)^2}{2\log_d n} - \frac{(m+k)\log_d(4p^{-1})}{\log_d n} - \frac{m\log_d m}{\log_d n} - T \ge \frac{\log_d \log_d n}{5}$$

so that,

$$f(k,\ell) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{1}{m! \, n^T}.\tag{8}$$

Hence, (8) and the Multinomial Theorem imply

$$S_{3} := \sum_{\substack{2 \log_{d} n - 4 \log_{d} m \leq 2k + \ell \leq 2m \\ 0 \leq k \leq m - \log_{d} m \\ 0 \leq k, \ell = m \\ 0 \leq k, \ell = m \\ 0 \leq k, \ell}} \binom{m}{k, \ell, m - k - \ell} \frac{p^{2m - k} q^{2M - \binom{2k + \ell}{2} + k} n^{2m - 2k - \ell}}{2^{m - k - 2\ell} (m - k - \ell)!}$$

$$\stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{p^{2m} q^{2M} n^{2m}}{m! \, 2^m n^T} \sum_{n} \binom{m}{k, \ell, m - k - \ell} \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{m} p^m q^M}{n^2}. \tag{9}$$

For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq k + \ell \leq m$, let

$$g(k,\ell) := \frac{p^{m-k}q^{M-\binom{2k+\ell}{2}+k}n^{2m-2k-\ell}}{2^{m-k-2\ell}(m-k-\ell)!}$$

hence, for $0 \le k + \ell \le m - 1$,

$$g(k+1,\ell) = \frac{2(m-k-\ell)d^{4k+2\ell}}{pn^2}g(k,\ell).$$

If, in addition, $2\log_d n - 4\log_d m \le 2k + \ell$,

$$\frac{2(m-k-\ell)d^{4k+2\ell}}{pn^2} \stackrel{*}{\ge} n^{3/2} \ge 1$$

so that $(2k + \ell \le 2(m - \ell) + \ell$ here),

$$g(k,\ell) \stackrel{*}{\leq} g(m-\ell,\ell)$$

= $(2pn)^{\ell} q^{2m\ell - \binom{\ell+1}{2} - \ell}$
= $\left(\frac{2p \log_d n}{n}\right)^{\ell} n^{\frac{\binom{\ell+1}{2} + \ell - 2c(n)\ell}{\log_d n}}.$

If, further, $m - \log_d m \le k$, then $\ell \le \log_d m$ and

$$g(k,\ell) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \left(\frac{2p\log_d n}{n}\right)^{\ell} n^{\frac{(2|c(n)|+2)\log_d^2 m}{\log_d n}}$$

hence, for all $\ell \geq 1$,

$$g(k,\ell) \stackrel{*}{\leq} 2n^{\left\{\frac{\bar{c}(\log_d \log_d n)^2}{\log_d n} - 1\right\}} \tag{10}$$

where $\bar{c} \geq 2|c(n)| + 3$. Also,

$$2\log_d n - 4\log_d m \stackrel{*}{\leq} 2k$$

so that (recall $k \leq m - 1$),

$$g(k,0) \leq g(m-1,0) = \frac{pq^{4m-4}n^2}{2} \leq \frac{d^{2\bar{c}}\log_d^2 n}{n^2}.$$
 (11)

Hence, (10) and (11) imply

$$S_{4} := \sum_{\substack{2 \log_{d} n - 4 \log_{d} m \leq 2k + \ell \leq 2m \\ m - \log_{d} m \leq k \leq m - 1 \\ 0 \leq k + \ell \leq m \\ 0 \leq k , \ell \\ 0 \leq k$$

Consequently, for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, (2), (4), (7), (9) and (12) imply

$$\sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \ge 2\\ i \neq j}} E(X_i X_j) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \left\{ 2 + (\widehat{c} + 2) \widetilde{\lambda}_m \right\} p^m q^M n^{\left\{ \frac{2\overline{c}(\log_d \log_d n)^2}{\log_d n} - 1 \right\}}$$

hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \ge 2\\ i \neq j}} E(X_i X_j) \stackrel{*}{\le} \left\{ 2 + (\widehat{c} + 2)\widetilde{\lambda}_m \right\} \widetilde{\lambda}_m n^{\left\{ \frac{2\widehat{c}(\log_d \log_d n)^2}{\log_d n} - 1 \right\}}$$
(13)

and, (3) and (13) imply

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y_m) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \lambda_m + \left\{ 2 + (\widehat{c} + 2)\widetilde{\lambda}_m \right\} \widetilde{\lambda}_m n^{\left\{ \frac{2\overline{c}(\log_d \log_d n)^2}{\log_d n} - 1 \right\}}.$$
 (14)

For $m = \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c(n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where c(n) is a bounded function, standard estimates give,

$$\log_d \left(\frac{\log_d n}{m} \right) = \frac{\log_d \log_d n}{2 \ln d \log_d n} + o\left(\frac{\log_d \log_d n}{\log_d n} \right)$$

hence, (1) and Stirling's formula imply,

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_m = n^{2-2c(n) + \log_d(ep/2) + \{c(n) + 1/2 \ln d - 0.5 \log_d(ep/2)\}} \frac{\log_d \log_d n}{\log_d n} + o\left(\frac{\log_d \log_d n}{\log_d n}\right).$$
(15)

We are now ready to prove that $\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p})$ is one of only two possible values for a.e. $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$.

Theorem 1. Fix $0 and let <math>m = \left\lceil \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c + \frac{1}{2} \log_d \left(\frac{ep}{2}\right) \right\rceil$. For all constant $0 < \delta < 2 - 2c$,

$$\Pr\left(m-1 \le \operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) \le m\right) = 1 - o(n^{-\delta}).$$

Proof. From (15) (and $\lambda_{m+1} \sim \widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1}$), we have

$$\lambda_{m+1} = o(n^{-2c+\epsilon}) \qquad (0 < \epsilon < 1)$$

hence, Markov's inequality implies

$$\Pr(Y_{m+1} \ge 1) = o(n^{-2c+\epsilon}) \qquad (0 < \epsilon < 1).$$
(16)

It is readily seen that $m-1 = \lfloor \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c + \frac{1}{2} \log_d \left(\frac{ep}{2}\right) \rfloor$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with density 1; otherwise, $m-1 = \lfloor \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log_d \left(\frac{ep}{2}\right) \rfloor$. From (15) (and $\lambda_{m-1} \sim \tilde{\lambda}_{m-1}$), we have in either case

$$\lambda_{m-1} \gg n^{2-2c-\epsilon} \qquad (\epsilon > 0) \tag{17}$$

hence, (14) (applied to Y_{m-1} with $\bar{c} = 2c + |\log_d(ep/2)| + 3$), (17) and Chebyshev's inequality imply

$$\Pr(Y_{m-1} = 0) = o(n^{-2+2c+\epsilon}) \qquad (0 < \epsilon < 2-2c).$$
(18)

Hence, for all constant $0 < \epsilon < 2 - 2c$, (16) and (18) imply

$$\Pr(m-1 \le \operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) \le m) = \Pr(Y_{m-1} \ge 1) - \Pr(Y_{m+1} \ge 1) = 1 - o(n^{-2+2c+\epsilon})$$

since the event $(Y_k \ge 1)$ contains the event $(Y_\ell \ge 1)$ for all $1 \le k \le \ell$. Our result follows upon letting $\delta = 2 - 2c - \epsilon$.

Remark. It is readily seen that the theorem remains true if $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$ slowly enough.

We now discuss the Stein-Chen method of approximating the distribution of a random variable with a Poisson distribution (see [1–3]). For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > 0$, let $x = x_{\lambda,A} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ by x(0) = 0 and

$$x(m+1) := \lambda^{-m-1} e^{\lambda} m! \left\{ \operatorname{Po}(\lambda, A \cap C_m) - \operatorname{Po}(\lambda, A) \operatorname{Po}(\lambda, C_m) \right\}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $C_m := \{0, \dots, m\}$ and $\operatorname{Po}(\lambda, B) := e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k \in B} \lambda^k / k!$ for $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Then (1) $\Delta x := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |x(m+1) - x(m)| \le 2 \min\{1, \lambda^{-1}\}$ and

(2) for any probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Pr)$ and any \mathcal{F} -measurable non-negative integer valued random variable $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Pr\left(Y \in A\right) - \Pr(\lambda, A) = E\left\{\lambda x(Y+1) - Yx(Y)\right\}.$$
(19)

Define the total variation distance $d_{TV}(Y, Po(\lambda))$ between Y and $Po(\lambda)$ by

$$d_{TV}(Y, \operatorname{Po}(\lambda)) := \sup_{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}} |\operatorname{Pr}(Y \in A) - \operatorname{Po}(\lambda, A)|.$$

For a sequence $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \Pr_n)$ of probability spaces and a sequence Y_n of \mathcal{F}_n measurable non-negative integer valued random variables with expectation λ_n , if

$$d_{TV}(Y_n, \operatorname{Po}(\lambda_n)) = o(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

we say Y_n is **Poisson convergent**. Necessarily, $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{Po}(\lambda)$ when $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda \in (0,\infty)$ while $(Y_n - \lambda_n)/\sqrt{\lambda_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ when $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$. Here, $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \operatorname{Pr}_n) = \mathcal{G}(n,p)$.

Again, $m = \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c(n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where c(n) is a bounded function. For $1 \le i \le t$, let

$$V_i := \sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \ge 2 \ i
eq j}} X_j \quad ext{and} \quad W_i := \sum_{\substack{|S_i \cap S_j| \le 1 \ i
eq j}} X_j$$

so that X_i and W_i are independent in $\mathcal{G}(n,p)$ and $Y_m = V_i + W_i + X_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. For **any** function $x : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lambda_m x(Y_m + 1) - Y_m x(Y_m) = p^m q^M \sum_{i=1}^t \left\{ x(Y_m + 1) - x(W_i + 1) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^t (p^m q^M - X_i) x(W_i + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^t X_i \left\{ x(W_i + 1) - x(Y_m) \right\}.$$
(20)

First,

$$\left|x(Y_m+1) - x(W_i+1)\right| \le \Delta x(X_i + V_i)$$

while crude estimates give,

$$E(X_i + V_i) \stackrel{*}{\leq} p^m q^M \frac{10m^4(n)_{2m}}{m! \, 2^m n^2} = \frac{10m^4 \lambda_m}{n^2}$$

hence,

$$p^{m}q^{M}\sum_{i=1}^{t}E\left|x(Y_{m}+1)-x(W_{i}+1)\right| \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{20m^{4}\lambda_{m}}{n^{2}}.$$
(21)

Next,

$$\left|X_{i}\left\{x(W_{i}+1)-x(Y_{m})\right\}\right| \leq \Delta x X_{i} V_{i}$$

hence, (13) implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} E \left| X_i \left\{ x(W_i+1) - x(Y_m) \right\} \right| \stackrel{*}{\leq} \left\{ 4 + (2\widehat{c} + 4)\widetilde{\lambda}_m \right\} n^{\left\{ \frac{2\overline{c}(\log_d \log_d n)^2}{\log_d n} - 1 \right\}}.$$
(22)

Consequently, (19), (20), (21), (22) and the independence of X_i and W_i imply,

$$d_{TV}(Y_m, \operatorname{Po}(\lambda_m)) \stackrel{*}{\leq} \frac{20m^4\lambda_m}{n^2} + \left\{4 + (2\widehat{c} + 4)\widetilde{\lambda}_m\right\} n^{\left\{\frac{2\overline{c}(\log_d \log_d n)^2}{\log_d n} - 1\right\}}, \quad (23)$$

since our estimates are independent of the set A.

We are now ready to prove that Y_m is Poisson convergent for appropriate m and, hence, determine the probability that $\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m-1$ or m for $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$.

Theorem 2. Fix $0 and let <math>m = \left\lceil \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c + \frac{1}{2} \log_d \left(\frac{ep}{2}\right) \right\rceil$. Then, for all constant $0 < \delta' < 2c - 1$,

$$d_{TV}(Y_m, \operatorname{Po}(\lambda_m)) = o(n^{-\delta'}).$$

Hence, for all constant $0 < \delta < 2 - 2c$, $0 < \delta' < 2c - 1$,

$$\Pr(\mathrm{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m - 1) = \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_m} - o(n^{-\delta}) + o(n^{-\delta'})$$
$$\Pr(\mathrm{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m) = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_m} - o(n^{-\delta'}).$$

Proof. From (15) (and $\lambda_m \sim \widetilde{\lambda}_m$), we have

$$\lambda_m = o(n^{2-2c+\epsilon}) \qquad (\epsilon > 0) \tag{24}$$

hence, for all constant $0 < \epsilon' < 2c - 1$, (23) (with $\bar{c} = 2c + |\log_d(ep/2)| + 5$) and (24) imply

$$d_{TV}(Y_m, \operatorname{Po}(\lambda_m)) = o(n^{1-2c+\epsilon'}).$$
(25)

Hence, for all constant $0 < \epsilon < 2 - 2c$, $0 < \epsilon' < 2c - 1$, (16), (18) and (25) imply

$$\Pr(\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m - 1) = \Pr(Y_{m-1} \ge 1) - \Pr(Y_m \ge 1)$$

= e^{-\lambda_m} - o(n^{-2+2c+\epsilon}) + o(n^{1-2c+\epsilon'})
$$\Pr(\operatorname{sm}(G_{n,p}) = m) = \Pr(Y_m \ge 1) - \Pr(Y_{m+1} \ge 1)$$

= 1 - e^{-\lambda_m} - o(n^{1-2c+\epsilon'}),

since the event $(Y_k \ge 1)$ contains the event $(Y_{k+1} \ge 1)$. Our result follows upon letting $\delta = 2 - 2c - \epsilon$ and $\delta' = 2c - 1 - \epsilon'$.

Finally, we find the limiting distribution of the number of maximum strong matchings in $G_{n,p} \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$.

Corollary 3. Fix $0 and let <math>m = \left\lceil \log_d n - \frac{1}{2} \log_d \log_d n + c + \frac{1}{2} \log_d \left(\frac{ep}{2}\right) \right\rceil$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_m = \lambda \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$Y_m \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{Po}(\lambda),$$

while, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_m = \infty$, then

$$\frac{Y_m - \lambda_m}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1). \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. For all such c and m, there exists a set S of positive integers having positive density with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_m = \infty$ when $n \in S$.

References

- A.D. Barbour, Poisson convergence and random graphs, Mathematical Proceedings Cambridge Philosophical Society 92 (1982), 349–359.
- [2] A.D. Barbour, L. Holst and S. Janson, *Poisson Approximation*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [3] B. Bollobás, Random Graphs, Academic Press, New York, 1985.
- [4] A. El Maftouhi and L. Marquez Gordones, The maximum size of a strong matching in a random graph, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 10 (1994), 97– 104.
- [5] A. El Maftouhi, The minimum size of a maximal strong matching in a random graph, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 12 (1995), 77–80.
- [6] R.J. Faudree, R.H. Schelp, A. Gyárfás and Zs. Tuza, The strong chromatic index of graphs, Ars Combinatoria 29B (1990), 205–211.
- [7] J. Liu and H. Zhou, Maximum induced matchings in graphs, Discrete Mathematics 170 (1997), no. 1–3, 277-281.

(Received 15/3/2000)