

# On counting $n$ -element trellises having exactly one pair of noncomparable elements

ADELAIDE ROSE MERLYN SALDANHA

*Department of Mathematics  
St. Agnes College  
Mangalore 575 002  
India*

S. PARAMESHWARA BHATTA

*Department of Mathematics  
Mangalore University  
Mangalagangothri 574 199  
India*

## Abstract

A trellis is a pseudo ordered set any two of whose elements have a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound. In this paper a formula for the number of  $n$ -element trellises having exactly one pair of noncomparable elements is given.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Trellis

The concept of a pseudo ordered set was introduced by Fried (see [4]). A reflexive and antisymmetric relation  $\underline{\leq}$  on a set  $A$  is a *pseudo order* and the pair  $\langle A, \underline{\leq} \rangle$  is a *pseudo ordered set* or a *psoset*. Two elements  $a$  and  $b$  are *non comparable* in  $A$ , written  $a \parallel b$ , if neither  $a \underline{\leq} b$  nor  $b \underline{\leq} a$  holds in  $A$ . A psoset any two of whose elements are comparable is a *tournament*. If  $B$  is a subset of a psoset  $A$ , an element  $c$  in  $A$  is an *upper bound* of  $B$  if  $b \underline{\leq} c$  for all  $b$  in  $B$ ;  $c$  is the *least upper bound* of  $B$  if  $c$  is an upper bound of  $B$  and  $c \underline{\leq} d$  for any upper bound  $d$  of  $B$ . The *lower bound* and the *greatest lower bound* of  $B$  are defined dually.

A *trellis* is a psoset  $\langle T, \underline{\leq} \rangle$  any two of whose elements  $a$  and  $b$  have a least upper bound  $c$  denoted  $c = a \vee b$  and a greatest lower bound  $d$  denoted  $d = a \wedge b$  in  $T$ .

The following properties hold immediately (see [9]):

1.  $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$  and  $a \vee b = b \vee a$  — Commutativity
2.  $a \wedge (b \vee a) = a = a \vee (b \wedge a)$  — Absorption
3.  $a \vee b = a$  and  $a \vee c = a$  implies  $a \vee (b \vee c) = a$  and  
 $a \wedge b = a$  and  $a \wedge c = a$  implies  $a \wedge (b \wedge c) = a$  — Part preservation.

Thus  $\langle T, \wedge, \vee \rangle$  is an algebra.

Conversely, a set  $T$  with two commutative, absorptive and part preserving relations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  is a trellis with the pseudo order  $\leq$  defined by  $a \leq b$  if  $a \wedge b = a$  and  $a \vee b = b$ . All definitions in this section are based on [9] and [10].

Shashirekha counts  $n$ -element trellises by an empirical method for  $n < 7$  (see [8]).

### 1.2 Isomorphism in trellises

Two trellises  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are *isomorphic* and the bijection  $f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  is an *isomorphism* if and only if  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow f(a) \leq f(b)$ , or equivalently,

$$f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b) \quad \text{and} \quad f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b) \quad (\text{see [8]}).$$

A pset  $A$  can be represented by an oriented graph  $G_A$  with vertex set  $A$  such that a directed segment from a vertex  $i$  to a vertex  $j$  exists in  $G_A$  if and only if  $i \triangleleft j$  holds in  $A$  (see [8]). Hence two trellises  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are isomorphic if and only if their corresponding oriented graphs  $G_{T_1}$  and  $G_{T_2}$  are isomorphic, or equivalently, the adjacency matrices  $A(G_{T_1})$  and  $A(G_{T_2})$  of the oriented graphs  $G_{T_1}$  and  $G_{T_2}$  respectively, differ only by a permutation of the rows accompanied by the same permutation of the columns provided the rows and the columns are arranged in the same order (see [3]). For more details regarding trellises see [9], [10] and [8].

All the definitions in the remaining part of this section are based on [5].

### 1.3 Reduced Ordered Pair Group

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p\}$  and  $X^{[2]} = \{(x, y) : x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$ . If  $A$  is a permutation group acting on  $X$ , then  $X$  is the *object set* of  $A$  and the *reduced ordered pair group* of  $A$ , denoted  $A^{[2]}$ , acts on  $X^{[2]}$  and is induced by  $A$  such that for each permutation  $\alpha$  in  $A$ , there is a permutation  $\alpha'$  in  $A^{[2]}$  induced by  $\alpha$  such that for every pair  $(i, j)$  in  $X^{[2]}$  the image under  $\alpha'$  is given by  $\alpha'(i, j) = (\alpha i, \alpha j)$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a permutation in the symmetric group  $S_p$  on  $p$  objects, and  $\alpha'$  is the permutation in  $S_p^{[2]}$  induced by  $\alpha$ , then the *converse* of any cycle  $z'$  in the disjoint cycle decomposition of  $\alpha'$  is that cycle of  $\alpha'$  which permutes all ordered pairs  $(i, j)$  such

that  $(j, i)$  is permuted by  $z'$ . The cycle  $z'$  of  $\alpha'$  is *self converse* if  $(i, j)$  is permuted whenever  $(j, i)$  is.

If  $z_r$  and  $z_t$  are two cycles of lengths  $r$  and  $t$  respectively, then there are  $2rt$  pairs  $(i, j)$  in  $X^{[2]}$  with  $i$  permuted by  $z_r$  and  $j$  permuted by  $z_t$ . These pairs are permuted in  $2(r, t)$  cycles of length  $[r, t]$  (where  $(r, t)$  and  $[r, t]$  are the g.c.d. and l.c.m. of  $r$  and  $t$  respectively). For more details on reduced ordered pair groups, see [5].

### 1.4 Power Group

If  $A$  is a finite permutation group with object set  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p\}$  and  $B$  a finite permutation group with a countable object set  $Y$  of at least 2 elements, then the *power group* denoted  $B^A$  has the collection  $Y^X$  of functions from  $X$  into  $Y$  as its object set. The permutations of  $B^A$  consist of all ordered pairs, written  $(\alpha; \beta)$ , of permutations  $\alpha$  in  $A$  and  $\beta$  in  $B$ . The *image* of any function  $f$  in  $Y^X$  under  $(\alpha; \beta)$  is given by  $((\alpha; \beta)f)(x) = \beta f(\alpha x)$  for each  $x$  in  $X$  (see [5]).

If  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p\}$ ,  $Y = \{0, 1\}$  and  $E_2$  is the identity group on  $n$  objects, then the power group  $E_2^{S_p^{[2]}}$  has the collection  $Y^{X^{[2]}}$  of functions from  $X^{[2]}$  into  $Y$  as its object set. The permutations of  $E_2^{S_p^{[2]}}$  consist of all ordered pairs, written  $(\alpha; \beta)$ , of permutations  $\alpha$  in  $S_p^{[2]}$  and  $\beta$ , the identity permutation on  $Y$ . The image of any function  $f$  in  $Y^{X^{[2]}}$  under  $(\alpha; \beta)$  is given by  $((\alpha; \beta)f)(x) = \beta f(\alpha(i, j)) = f(\alpha i, \alpha j)$  for each  $x = (i, j)$ ,  $i \neq j$  (see [5]).

## 2 Preliminaries

If a permutation  $\alpha$  in  $S_p$  splits into  $j_k$  cycles of length  $k$  for each  $k$  from 1 to  $p$ , then  $\alpha$  is *of the type*  $(j) = (j_1, j_2, j_3, \dots, j_p)$  and the *cycle structure* of  $\alpha$  is  $1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots p^{j_p}$ . Note that  $\sum_{k=1}^p k j_k = p$  (see [6]).

**Lemma 2.1** *The number of permutations in  $S_p$  of the type  $(j)$  is  $\frac{p!}{\prod k^{j_k} j_k!}$ .*

(See [6].)

**Lemma 2.2** (Burnside's Lemma) *Let  $G$  be a finite permutation group with object set  $X$ . Define  $x_1 \approx x_2$  in  $X$  if and only if there exists an  $\alpha \in G$  such that  $\alpha(x_1) = x_2$ . Then  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$  and the number of  $\approx$  equivalence classes (or  $G$  orbits) thus defined is*

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\alpha \in G} \Psi(\alpha),$$

where  $\Psi(\alpha)$  is the number of elements  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x) = x$ .

(See [1] and [6].)

**Lemma 2.3** (Restricted form of Burnside’s Lemma) *Let  $G$  be a finite permutation group with object set  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$  such that  $Y$  is a union of orbits of  $G$ . If  $G|_Y$  denotes the set of permutations obtained by restricting those of  $G$  to  $Y$ , then the number of  $G|_Y$ -orbits is*

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\alpha \in G} \Psi(\alpha),$$

where  $\Psi(\alpha)$  is the number of elements  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\alpha|_Y(x) = x$ .

(See [5].)

Counting tournaments of order  $p$  is due to Davis (see [2], [7] and [5]).

**Lemma 2.4** *The number  $T(p)$  of tournaments of order  $p$  is*

$$T(p) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{(j)}^* \frac{p!}{\prod k^{j_k} j_k!} 2^{t(j)},$$

where the asterisk on  $\sum$  calls attention to the unconventional summing only over those partitions  $(j)$  of  $p$  with  $j_k = 0$  whenever  $k$  is even, and where

$$t(j) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{m,n=1}^p j_m j_n (m, n) - \sum_{k=1}^p j_k \right).$$

(See [5].)

### 3 $n$ -Element trellises having exactly one pair of noncomparable elements

Note that if  $C$  is the collection of all  $n$ -element trellises having exactly one pair of noncomparable elements and  $T$  is a trellis in  $C$ , then up to isomorphism  $1||2$ ,  $1 \vee 2 = 3$ ,  $1 \wedge 2 = 4$  hold in  $T$  and the subtrellis  $Z = \{5, 6, \dots, n\}$  is a tournament.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ ,  $Y = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $Z = \{5, 6, \dots, n\}$ ,  $E_2$  the identity group on  $Y$  and  $G = \{\beta\alpha : \text{either } \beta \in E_n \text{ or } \beta = (12) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is a permutation on } Z\}$ . Then the power group  $E_2^G$  has as its object set the collection  $C$ , and its orbits are precisely the isomorphic classes of  $C$ .*

*Proof:* If a permutation  $\alpha$  in  $S_n$  induces a permutation of trellises in  $C$ , then  $\alpha(1)||\alpha(2)$ ,  $\alpha(1 \vee 2) = \alpha(1) \vee \alpha(2)$  and  $\alpha(1 \wedge 2) = \alpha(1) \wedge \alpha(2)$ . Therefore  $((\alpha(1) = 1$  and  $\alpha(2) = 2)$  or  $(\alpha(1) = 2$  and  $\alpha(2) = 1))$ ,  $\alpha(3) = 3$  and  $\alpha(4) = 4$ . Hence the proof follows.

**Theorem 1** *If  $Tr_1(n)$  is the number of non isomorphic  $n$ -element trellises having exactly one pair of noncomparable elements, then*

$$Tr_1(n) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{(j)}^* \frac{p!}{\prod k^{j_k} j_k!} 2^{t(j)} \left( (12)^{\sum_{k=1}^p j_k} + (4)^{\sum_{k=1}^p j_k} \right),$$

where  $p = n - 4$ , the asterisk on  $\sum$  calls attention to the unconventional summing only over those partitions  $(j)$  of  $p$  with  $j_k = 0$  whenever  $k$  is even, and where

$$t(j) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{m,n=1}^p j_m j_n(m, n) - \sum_{k=1}^p j_k \right).$$

*Proof:* The following justification for the expression for  $t(j)$  is based on [5]:

For the sake of convenience, consider  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p\}$  instead of  $Z = \{5, 6, 7, \dots, n\}$ . The orbits of the power group  $E_2^{S_p^{[2]}}$  correspond to digraphs of order  $p$ . On restricting this group to the set  $F$  of all functions  $f$  which represent  $p$ -element tournaments, namely those  $f$  for which  $f(i, j) \neq f(j, i)$ , the restricted form of Burnside's Lemma can be applied. As a result the number of nonisomorphic  $p$ -element tournaments can be expressed in terms of the number of functions in  $F$  fixed by the permutations in the power group  $E_2^{S_p^{[2]}}$ . Thus, for each permutation  $\alpha$  in  $S_p$  of the type  $(j) = (j_1, j_2, j_3, \dots, j_p)$ , we need to find the number of functions  $f$  in  $F$  such that  $f(i, j) = f(\alpha i, \alpha j)$  for all  $(i, j)$  in  $X^{[2]}$ , or those functions fixed by the permutations  $\alpha'$  in the power group induced by  $\alpha$ ; for the sake of convenience, let us say that they are fixed by  $\alpha$  instead of  $\alpha'$ . Therefore, if the cycles of  $\alpha$  determine the partitions  $(j)$  of  $p$ , then we need to show that the number of functions in  $F$  fixed by  $\alpha$  is  $2^{t(j)}$ .

If  $f$  is a tournament fixed by  $\alpha$ , then  $f$  is constant on the cycles of the induced permutation  $\alpha'$ . If  $z_k = (1, 2, \dots, k)$  is any cycle of even length, then  $\alpha'$  has the cycle

$$z' = \left( \left( 1, \frac{k}{2} + 1 \right) \left( 2, \frac{k}{2} + 2 \right) \dots \left( \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \right) \left( \frac{k}{2} + 1, 1 \right) \left( \frac{k}{2} + 2, 2 \right) \dots \left( \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2} \right) \right)$$

which is self converse. If  $f$  is constant on this self converse cycle, then  $f(1, \frac{k}{2} + 1) = f(\frac{k}{2} + 1, 1)$  which contradicts the fact that  $f$  is a tournament. Thus  $\alpha$  does not fix any tournament  $Z$  and hence it fixes no trellises in  $C$  also. Hence the asterisk on the summation sign is justified.

If  $z_k = (1, 2, \dots, k)$  is any cycle of odd length, then the induced permutation  $\alpha'$  has, in its cycle representation,  $k - 1$  cycles each of length  $k$ , namely,  $((1, 2)(2, 3)(3, 4) \dots (k, 1))$ ,  $((1, 3)(2, 4)(3, 5) \dots (k, 2))$ ,  $((1, 4)(2, 5)(3, 6) \dots (k, 3))$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $((1, k)(2, 1)(3, 2) \dots (k, k - 1))$ . Note that the  $i$ th cycle is the converse of the  $(k - i)$ th cycle for each  $i$  from 1 to  $\frac{k-1}{2}$ .

If a tournament  $f$  is fixed by a permutation containing a cycle of odd length, then  $f(1, 2) = f(2, 3) = \dots = f(k, 1) = 1$  or  $0$ ,  $f(1, 3) = f(2, 4) = \dots = f(k, 2) = 1$

or 0,  $f(1, 4) = f(2, 5) = \dots = f(k, 3) = 1$  or 0,  $\dots$ ,  $f(1, \frac{k+1}{2}) = f(2, \frac{k+3}{2}) = \dots = f(k, \frac{k-1}{2}) = 1$  or 0. Therefore  $z_k$  fixes exactly  $2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}$  tournaments and the contribution to  $t(j)$  due to all the odd cycles of  $\alpha$  is  $\sum j_k \frac{k-1}{2}$  summed over odd  $k$ .

Now we consider two cycles  $z_m$  and  $z_n$  of  $\alpha$  and the pairs in  $X^{[2]}$  which have one point in each. Two such cycles induce  $2(m, n)$  cycles of ordered pairs in  $X^{[2]}$ . These latter cycles consist of  $(m, n)$  pairs of converse cycles.

Therefore the number of tournaments fixed by the product  $z_m z_n$  is  $2^{(m,n)}$  and the contribution to  $t(j)$  of all such pairs  $z_m$  and  $z_n$  with  $m \neq n$  is  $\sum_{m < n} j_m j_n (m, n)$ .

The contribution to  $t(j)$  of a pair of cycles of the same length  $k$  is  $\sum \binom{j_k}{2} k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} t(j) &= \sum j_k \frac{k-1}{2} + \sum_{m < n} j_m j_n (m, n) + \sum k \frac{j_k(j_k-1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum j_k(k-1) + 2 \sum_{m < n} j_m j_n (m, n) - \sum k j_k + \sum j_k j_k (k.k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{m,n=1}^p j_m j_n (m, n) - \sum j_k \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To find  $Tr_1(n)$ , from the note above it suffices to count the number of isomorphic classes of trellises in  $C$ . From the lemma above and by Burnside’s Lemma, it suffices to find the number of trellises  $T$  in  $C$  fixed by each permutation in  $G$ , where  $G = \{\beta\alpha : \text{either } \beta \in E_n \text{ or } \beta = (1\ 2) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is a permutation on } Z\}$ .

If  $T$  is a trellis in  $C$ , then  $1||2, 1 \vee 2 = 3, 1 \wedge 2 = 4$  hold in  $T$  and hence for each  $i$  from 5 to  $n$ , the submatrix  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3}, a_{i4})$  of its adjacency matrix  $A(G_T)$  can be defined by precisely one of the following 12 ordered 4-tuples  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3}, a_{i4})$  given in the table on the next page.

Further, if any cycle  $z_k = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  fixes the trellis  $T$ , then  $a_{i_1,l} = a_{i_2,l} = a_{i_3,l} = \dots = a_{i_k,l}$  for each  $l$  from 1 to 4. Hence for a trellis fixed by a permutation  $\alpha$  on  $Z$ , the number of choices for defining the  $p \times 4$  submatrix  $(a_{ij})_{5 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 4}$  of its adjacency matrix  $A(G_T)$  is  $(12)^{\sum_{k=1}^p j_k}$ .

$a_{i1}$	$a_{i2}$	$a_{i3}$	$a_{i4}$
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Now, if the permutation  $(1\ 2)$  fixes a trellis  $T$  in  $C$ , then for each  $i$  from 5 to  $n$ , the submatrix  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3}, a_{i4})$  of the adjacency matrix  $A(G_T)$  can be defined by precisely one of the following four ordered 4-tuples given in the table below.

$a_{i1}$	$a_{i2}$	$a_{i3}$	$a_{i4}$
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Hence for a trellis fixed by the permutation  $(1\ 2)\alpha$  in  $G$ , where  $\alpha$  is a permutation on  $Z$ , the total number of choices for defining the submatrix  $(a_{ij})_{p \times 4}$ , where  $i = 5$  to  $n$  and  $j = 1$  to 4 is  $(4)^{\sum_{k=1}^p j_k}$ . Moreover  $a_{34} = 0$  or 1. Thus

$$Tr_1(n) = \frac{2}{2p!} \sum_{(j)}^* \frac{p!}{\prod_k j_k!} 2^{t(j)} \left( (12)^{\sum_{k=1}^p j_k} + (4)^{\sum_{k=1}^p j_k} \right).$$

Hence the theorem holds.

### References

- [1] W. Burnside, *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, 2nd Ed. p. 191, Theorem VII, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1911. Reprinted by Dover, New York, 1955.
- [2] R.L. Davis, The Number of Structures of Finite Relations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1953), 486–495.
- [3] Narasingh Deo, *Graph Theory with Applications to Engineering and Computer Science*, PHI, New Delhi, 1997.

- [4] E. Fried, Tournaments and Non Associative Lattices, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Eotvos Sect. Math.* 13 (1970), 151–164.
- [5] F. Harary and E.M. Palmer, *Graphical Enumeration*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.
- [6] V. Krishnamoorthy, *Combinatorics Theory and Applications*, Affiliated East-West Press Private Limited, New Delhi, 1985.
- [7] J. Moon, *Topics on Tournaments*, Holt, New York, 1968.
- [8] H. Shashirekha, *On Some Problems in Generalized Lattices*, Ph.D. Thesis, Mangalore University, 2002.
- [9] H.L. Skala, Trellis Theory, *Algebra Universalis* 1 (1971/72), 218–233.
- [10] Helen Skala, *Trellis Theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. No. 121, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1972.

(Received 27 June 2007; revised 22 Nov 2007)