

# Some enumerative combinatorics arising from a problem on quadratic nonresidues

STEVE WRIGHT

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Oakland University  
Rochester, MI 48309-4485  
U.S.A.  
wright@oakland.edu*

## Abstract

If  $A$  is a finite set of cardinality  $n \geq 1$ ,  $2^A$  is the set of all subsets of  $A$ , and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a nonempty subset of  $2^A$ , we say that  $\mathcal{S}$  has the *odd-intersection property* if there exists a subset  $N$  of  $A$  such that the cardinality of  $N \cap S$  is odd for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $OIP(n)$  denote the set of all subsets of  $2^A$  with the odd-intersection property. A nonempty set  $\mathcal{S}$  of nonempty subsets of  $A$  is an *obstruction* (to the odd-intersection property) if  $\mathcal{S}$  does not have the odd-intersection property, but all nonempty proper subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$  do have it. Let  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  denote the set of all obstructions that are contained in  $2^A$ . This paper initiates a study of the cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $OIP(n)$ . Interest in this problem arose from previous work of the author on a combinatorial characterization of the finite subsets  $S$  of the positive integers with the following property: for infinitely many prime numbers  $p$ ,  $S$  is a set of quadratic nonresidues of  $p$ .

## 1 Introduction

If  $n$  is a positive integer and if  $[1, n]$  denotes the  $n$ -set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $2^{[1, n]}$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $[1, n]$ , and  $\emptyset$  denotes the empty set, then a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2^{[1, n]}$  is said to have the *odd-intersection property relative to*  $[1, n]$  if there exists a subset  $N$  of  $[1, n]$  such that the cardinality of  $N \cap S$  is odd for each element  $S$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We let  $OIP(n)$  denote the set of all subsets of  $2^{[1, n]}$  with the odd-intersection property. A nonempty subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2^{[1, n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is said to be an *obstruction to the odd-intersection property*, or more succinctly, an *obstruction*, if  $\mathcal{S}$  does not have the odd-intersection property, but all nonempty proper subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$  do have it. Obstructions are of interest because of the following simple fact: a nonempty subset of  $2^{[1, n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  has the odd-intersection property if and only if it does not contain an obstruction. Let  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  denote the set of all obstructions that are contained in  $2^{[1, n]}$ . The purpose of this

paper is to investigate the following two counting problems:

$$\text{What is the cardinality of } \mathcal{O}(n)? \tag{1.1}$$

$$\text{What is the cardinality of } OIP(n)? \tag{1.2}$$

Of course, there is nothing sacred here about the  $n$ -set  $[1, n]$ . One can replace it by any set of cardinality  $n$  and formulate the appropriate notions of odd-intersection property and obstructions relative to that set.

Our interest in problems (1.1) and (1.2) arose from the work of [6, 7] concerning the following problem in elementary number theory. If  $Z^+$  denotes the set of positive integers and  $p \in Z^+$  is prime, an integer  $z$  is a *quadratic nonresidue of  $p$*  if the modular congruence  $x^2 \equiv z \pmod{p}$  does not have an integer solution  $x$ . In [7], motivated by the work of Buell and Hudson [1], Filaseta and Richman [2], Hudson [3], and Monzingo [4], we characterized the nonempty finite subsets  $S$  of  $Z^+$  such that for infinitely many primes  $p$ , every element of  $S$  is a quadratic nonresidue of  $p$ . Our characterization was formulated combinatorially in terms of the odd-intersection property in the following way: if  $z \in S$ , if  $\pi_{\text{odd}}(z)$  denotes the set of prime factors of  $z$  of odd multiplicity, and if  $\Pi$  denotes the set of all prime factors of the elements of  $S$  of odd multiplicity, then  $S$  is a set of quadratic nonresidues for infinitely many primes if and only if  $S$  contains no squares and the set  $\{\pi_{\text{odd}}(z) : z \in S\}$  has the odd-intersection property relative to  $\Pi$  ([6, Lemma 2.5]). Given a nonempty finite set  $\Pi$  of primes, it hence follows that solutions to problems (1.1) and (1.2) give information on the number of subsets of  $Z^+$  which can be formed from products of the elements of  $\Pi$  that are sets of quadratic nonresidues for infinitely many primes (see the remark at the end of Section 3 for a more precise and detailed discussion of this).

We now briefly describe the contents of this paper. Section 2 presents two results that constitute the principal tools that we use to study problems (1.1) and (1.2): the atomic decomposition of a family of sets and a result from [7] that determines the structure of the obstructions that are contained in  $2^{[1, n]}$ . The principal results of this paper are Theorems 3.5 and 3.8 in Section 3, which give exact formulas for the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $OIP(n)$ , respectively. We also perform some calculations in Section 3 which explicitly compute the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $OIP(n)$  for various (small) values of  $n$ .

## 2 Atomic decomposition and structure of obstructions

In all of what follows, the following notation will be employed: if  $m$  and  $n$  are integers with  $2 \leq m \leq n$ , then  $[m, n]$  will denote the set of all elements of the  $n$ -set  $[1, n]$  that exceed  $m - 1$  and if  $A$  is a set, then  $|A|$  will denote the cardinality of  $A$  and  $2^A$  will denote the set of all subsets of  $A$ .

Now, let  $F = \{0, 1\}$  denote the Galois field  $Z/2Z$  of order 2, and let  $F^n$  denote the vector space of dimension  $n \in Z^+$  over  $F$ . We will use linear algebra in  $F^n$  to study subsets of  $2^{[1, n]}$  by means of the following familiar device. If  $S \subseteq [1, n]$ , then we associate a vector  $v_S \in F^n$  to  $S$  by defining the  $i$ -th coordinate  $v_S(i)$  of  $v_S$  to

be 0 (respectively, 1) if  $i \notin S$  (respectively,  $i \in S$ ). Note that the map  $S \rightarrow v_S$  is a bijection of  $2^{[1,n]}$  onto  $F^n$  and if  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]}$ , then we will let  $V(\mathcal{S})$  denote the set  $\{v_S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .

If  $\emptyset \neq V = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subseteq F^n \setminus \{0\}$ , then the *incidence matrix* of  $V$  is defined to be the  $m \times n$  matrix over  $F$  whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is  $v_i(j)$ . If  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , then the *incidence matrix*  $I(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined to be the incidence matrix of  $V(\mathcal{S})$ , and we define the *column set*  $C(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  to be the set of all nonzero columns of  $I(\mathcal{S})$ . We note that  $C(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq F^m \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $m = |\mathcal{S}|$ .

The principle tool that we will use to investigate the cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $OIP(n)$  is the atomic decomposition of a class of sets. This decomposition exists for any subset of  $2^A$ , where  $A$  is an arbitrary set, but we will describe it only for nonempty subsets of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  in terms of their incidence matrices, since that will be its most convenient form for the work to be done here.

Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , with  $m = |\mathcal{S}|$ , and let  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  denote the columns of  $I(\mathcal{S})$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $[1, n]$  as follows: if  $(i, j) \in [1, n] \times [1, n]$ , then  $i \sim j$  if  $c_i = c_j$ . *N. B.* This equivalence relation is invariant under permutation of the rows of  $I(\mathcal{S})$ . Let  $E_0$  denote the equivalence class determined by the zero columns of  $I(\mathcal{S})$ , if any, and set

$A(\mathcal{S}) =$  set of all distinct equivalence classes of  $\sim$ , *excluding*  $E_0$ .

Then  $A(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$  and there is a bijection  $b_S : C(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{S})$  of  $C(\mathcal{S})$  onto  $A(\mathcal{S})$  such that if

$$S_i = \bigcup_{\{c \in C(\mathcal{S}) : c(i)=1\}} b_S(c), \quad i \in [1, m], \quad (2.1)$$

then  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ . The elements of  $A(\mathcal{S})$  are the *atoms* of  $\mathcal{S}$ , the bijection  $b_S$  is the *attachment map* of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and the decomposition (2.1) is the *atomic decomposition* of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

A nonempty set  $C$  of column vectors in  $F^m$  is *admissible* if for each  $i \in [1, m]$ , there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $c(i) = 1$  and for  $i \neq j$ , there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $c(i) \neq c(j)$ . If  $m \in [1, 2^n]$ ,  $k \in [1, n]$ ,  $A$  is a subset of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  of cardinality  $k$  whose elements are pairwise disjoint,  $C$  is an admissible set of nonzero column vectors in  $F^m$  of cardinality  $k$ ,  $b : C \rightarrow A$  is a bijection, and

$$S_i = \bigcup_{\{c \in C : c(i)=1\}} b(c), \quad i \in [1, m],$$

then  $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$  is a subset of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  of cardinality  $m$  with column set  $C$ , atoms  $A$ , and attachment map  $b$ .

If one now considers the 0-1 matrix formed by the column vectors in the column set of a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , the atomic decomposition of  $\mathcal{S}$  reveals how this matrix displays the pattern formed by the intersections of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . This observation motivates what we do next.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are arbitrary matrices, we will say that  $X$  is *permutation-equivalent* to  $Y$  if  $X$  is obtained from  $Y$  by permutation of the rows and columns of  $Y$ . If we

call the set of all columns of a matrix  $X$  the *column set of  $X$* , we note that if  $X$  and  $Y$  have distinct columns, then  $X$  is permutation-equivalent to  $Y$  if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same size and there exists a permutation of the coordinates of the column space of  $Y$  which sends the column set of  $Y$  onto the column set of  $X$ . Since permutation equivalence is obviously an equivalence relation on the set of all matrices over a fixed field, we will let  $[X]$  denote the associated equivalence class of the matrix  $X$ , and we will call this equivalence class the *intersection pattern of  $X$* .

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is now a nonempty subset of  $2^{[1,m]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , let  $X$  be any matrix of size  $|\mathcal{S}| \times |C(\mathcal{S})|$  whose column set is  $C(\mathcal{S})$  (note that  $X$  has distinct rows and columns). The *intersection pattern of  $\mathcal{S}$*  is defined to be the intersection pattern of  $X$ , and this definition clearly does not depend on how  $X$  is formed from an ordering of the elements of  $C(\mathcal{S})$ .

Our next task is to describe the structure of an obstruction in  $2^{[1,n]}$ . This will require some preliminary definitions and companion notation. To that end, we first associate to each odd integer  $m \geq 3$  the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$  of  $F^m$  consisting of all vectors with an odd number of 0 coordinates. The dimension of  $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$  is  $m - 1$ . Now let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer, let  $m$  be an odd integer in  $[3, n + 1]$  (respectively,  $[3, n]$ ) if  $n$  is even (respectively, odd), and let  $k \in [m - 1, \min\{2^{m-1} - 1, n\}]$ . We then set

$$\mathcal{C}_{mk}(n) = \{C \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{m-1} \setminus \{0\} : |C| = k \text{ and } C \text{ contains a basis of } \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}\}.$$

If  $X$  is a matrix of size  $m \times k$  whose column set is an element of  $\mathcal{C}_{mk}(n)$ , we will call the intersection pattern of  $X$  *forbidden* and we will denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{mk}(n)$  the set of all forbidden intersection patterns which arise in this way from elements of  $\mathcal{C}_{mk}(n)$ .

There is a parametrization of the elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{mk}(n)$  that will prove useful in the enumerative combinatorics that we study in section 3. To describe it, we first consider subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $F^m$  and declare them to be *permutation-equivalent* if there exists a permutation  $\pi$  of the coordinates of  $F^m$  such that  $\pi(U) = V$ . This is clearly an equivalence relation and we let  $\langle U \rangle$  denote the associated equivalence class of  $U \subseteq F^m$ . If we now observe that  $\mathcal{C}_{mk}(n)$  is invariant under any permutation of the coordinates of  $F^m$  then the following lemma is evident from the construction of forbidden intersection patterns given above:

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $n \geq 2$  is an even (respectively, odd) integer, if  $m$  is an odd integer in  $[3, n + 1]$  (respectively,  $[3, n]$ ), and if  $k \in [m - 1, \min\{2^{m-1} - 1, n\}]$ , then there is a bijection of  $\mathcal{F}_{mk}(n)$  onto the equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{C}_{mk}(n)$  under permutation equivalence of subsets of  $F^m$  given by*

$$[X] \rightarrow \langle \text{column set of } X \rangle.$$

We can now state the following result, which describes precisely the structure of the obstructions contained in  $2^{[1,m]}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([7, Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 2.11]). *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. If  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{O} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  is an obstruction if and only if the cardinality  $m$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  is an odd integer in  $[3, n + 1]$  (respectively,  $[3, n]$ ) if  $n$  is even (respectively, odd) and there exists  $k \in [m - 1, \min\{2^{m-1} - 1, n\}]$  such that the intersection pattern of  $\mathcal{O}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_{mk}(n)$ .*

### 3 The cardinality of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $OIP(n)$

Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $m$  be an odd integer in  $[3, n+1]$  (respectively,  $[3, n]$ ) if  $n$  is even (respectively, odd). Let  $\mathcal{O}_m(n)$  denote the set of all obstructions  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]}$  such that  $|\mathcal{O}| = m$ . It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  is the pairwise disjoint union of the  $\mathcal{O}_m(n)$ 's, and so in order to calculate the cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , it suffices to calculate the cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}_m(n)$  for each relevant value of  $m$ .

The atomic decomposition will be our primary tool for the investigation of the cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}_m(n)$ ; we will need to supplement it by a lemma which indicates how a subset of  $2^{[1,n]}$  is uniquely determined by its atomic decomposition. For that, let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , with  $m = |\mathcal{S}|$  and with column set  $C(\mathcal{S})$ . If  $i \in [1, m]$ , the  $i$ -th incidence set  $I_i(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined by

$$I_i(\mathcal{S}) = \{c \in C(\mathcal{S}) : c(i) = 1\}.$$

The following straightforward lemma provides the required uniqueness criterion:

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$  is a nonempty subset of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  with column set  $C_\ell$ , set of atoms  $A_\ell$ , attachment map  $b_\ell : C_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell$ , and incidence sets  $\{I_{\ell i} : i \in [1, |\mathcal{S}_\ell|]\}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2$  if and only if*

(a)  $|\mathcal{S}_1| = |\mathcal{S}_2|$ ,

(b)  $A_1 = A_2$ , and

(c) if  $|\mathcal{S}_1| = m = |\mathcal{S}_2|$ , then there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of the coordinates of  $F^m$  and a permutation  $\tau$  of  $[1, m]$  such that  $\sigma(C_1) = C_2$  and

$$b_1(I_{1i}) = b_2\sigma(I_{1\tau(i)}), \quad i \in [1, m],$$

i.e.,  $\sigma^{-1}b_2^{-1}b_1$  permutes the incidence sets of  $\mathcal{S}_1$ .

*Remark.* If  $\sigma(C_1) = C_2$  as in condition (c) of Lemma 3.1, then  $\sigma^{-1}b_2^{-1}b_1$  permutes the incidence sets of  $\mathcal{S}_1$  if and only if  $\sigma b_1^{-1}b_2$  permutes the incidence sets of  $\mathcal{S}_2$ .

In order to use Lemma 3.1 to count the elements of  $\mathcal{O}_m(n)$ , we require some information about the incidence sets of arbitrary 0-1 matrices. Toward that end, we thus consider a fixed matrix  $X = (x_{ij})$  with distinct rows and columns, of size  $m \times k$ , say, each of whose entries is either a 0 or a 1. The  $i$ -th row-incidence set  $I_i(X)$  of  $X$  is defined as

$$I_i(X) = \{j : x_{ij} = 1\}, \quad i \in [1, m],$$

and the  $j$ -th column-incidence set  $J_j(X)$  of  $X$  is defined as

$$J_j(X) = \{i : x_{ij} = 1\}, \quad j \in [1, k].$$

If  $r \in Z^+$ , we let  $\Sigma_r$  denote the full symmetric group on  $r$  letters, and set

$$S(X) = \{\sigma \in \Sigma_k : \sigma \text{ permutes the row-incidence sets of } X\},$$

$$T(X) = \{\tau \in \Sigma_m : \tau \text{ permutes the column-incidence sets of } X\}.$$

**Lemma 3.2**  $S(X)$  (respectively,  $T(X)$ ) is a subgroup of  $\Sigma_k$  (respectively,  $\Sigma_m$ ), and  $|S(X)| = |T(X)|$ .

*Proof.* That  $S(X)$  and  $T(X)$  are subgroups of the appropriate symmetric group is clear. The easiest way to see that they have the same order is to observe that  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$  (respectively,  $\tau \in \Sigma_m$ ) is in  $S(X)$  (respectively,  $T(X)$ ) if and only if the permutation of the columns (respectively, rows) of  $X$  induced by  $\sigma$  (respectively,  $\tau$ ) also permutes the rows (respectively, columns) of  $X$ , that the resulting permutation of the rows (respectively, columns) of  $X$  determines a unique permutation in  $T(X)$  (respectively,  $S(X)$ ), and that the resulting map  $S(X) \rightarrow T(X)$  (respectively,  $T(X) \rightarrow S(X)$ ) is injective. QED

We use the row-incidence sets of  $X$  to define an equivalence relation  $\sim_X$  on  $\Sigma_k$  as follows: if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_k$ , then  $\sigma \sim_X \tau$  if  $\sigma^{-1}\tau$  permutes the row-incidence sets of  $X$ . The set of equivalence classes of  $\sim_X$  in  $\Sigma_k$  is just the set of left cosets of  $S(X)$  in  $\Sigma_k$ , hence

$$[\Sigma_k : S(X)] = \text{the cardinality of the set of equivalence classes of } \sim_X \text{ in } \Sigma_k. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose  $X$  is now a representative from an intersection pattern  $\mathcal{I}$  of a nonempty subset of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . The cardinality  $\pi(X)$  of the set of equivalence classes of  $\sim_X$  in  $\Sigma_k$  does not depend on the representative  $X$  taken from  $\mathcal{I}$ ; we hence refer to the common value of  $\pi(X)$  for  $X \in \mathcal{I}$  as the *assembly index of  $\mathcal{I}$* .

We now apply the above technology to the set of obstructions in  $2^{[1,n]}$ . Let  $m$  be an odd integer as defined at the beginning of section 3, let  $s(m, n) = \min\{2^{m-1} - 1, n\}$ , and let  $k$  be a fixed integer in  $[m - 1, s(m, n)]$ . We recall from section 2 that

$$\mathcal{C}_{mk} = \mathcal{C}_{mk}(n) = \{C \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{m-1} \setminus \{0\} : |C| = k \text{ and } C \text{ contains a basis of } \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$  denotes the subspace of  $F^m$  consisting of all vectors with an odd number of 0 coordinates. The symmetric group  $\Sigma_m$  acts on  $\mathcal{C}_{mk}$  by permutation of coordinates; if we set

$$o(m, k) = \text{set of } \Sigma_m\text{-orbits in } \mathcal{C}_{mk}$$

and for each  $\sigma \in o(m, k)$  let  $X_\sigma$  denote an  $m \times k$  matrix whose column set is a representative of  $\sigma$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\{[X_\sigma] : \sigma \in o(m, k)\}$$

is the set of all forbidden intersection patterns of size  $m \times k$ . We can now state the following lemma, which follows directly from Theorem 2.2, Lemma 3.1, and the definition of  $\sim_{X_\sigma}$ :

**Lemma 3.3** *If  $n, m, k, o(m, k)$ , and  $X_\sigma$  for  $\sigma \in o(m, k)$  are as defined above, if  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  is a set of atoms of cardinality  $k$  contained in  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , if  $\pi_\sigma$  denotes the assembly index of  $[X_\sigma]$ , if  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\pi_\sigma}\}$  is a complete set of representatives for the equivalence classes of  $\sim_{X_\sigma}$ , and if*

$$S_{is} = \bigcup_{j \in I_i(X_\sigma)} A_{\tau_s(j)}, \quad i \in [1, m], \quad s \in [1, \pi_\sigma],$$

then  $\{\{S_{1s}, \dots, S_{ms}\} : s \in [1, \pi_\sigma]\}$  is the set of all obstructions contained in  $2^{[1,n]}$  of cardinality  $m$ , intersection pattern  $[X_\sigma]$ , and set of atoms  $\mathcal{A}$ .

At this point, an example may be instructive. Let  $n = 4$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $k = 4$ , and consider the three 0-1 matrices

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The column sets of  $X_1, X_2$ , and  $X_3$  are all bases of  $\mathcal{Y}_4$ , and so  $[X_1], [X_2]$ , and  $[X_3]$  are forbidden intersection patterns. We also have that

$$S(X_1) = \Sigma_4, S(X_2) = \{\text{identity}, (23)\}, S(X_3) = \{\text{identity}\}.$$

It hence follows from (3.1) that the assembly indices of  $[X_1], [X_2]$ , and  $[X_3]$  are, respectively, 1, 12, and 24, and so we conclude from Lemma 3.3 that there is a unique obstruction in  $2^{[1,4]}$  with intersection pattern  $[X_1]$  (namely the obstruction  $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$ ), 12 obstructions with intersection pattern  $[X_2]$ , and 24 obstructions with intersection pattern  $[X_3]$ .

Letting  $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$  denote the set of all subsets  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{S}| = k$  and the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are pairwise disjoint and setting  $a(n, k) = |\mathcal{A}(n, k)|$ , we deduce the following lemma from Lemma 3.1, (3.1), and Lemma 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4** *If  $n, m, s(m, n), k, o(m, k)$ , and  $X_\sigma$  for  $\sigma \in o(m, k)$  are as defined above, then*

$$|\mathcal{O}_m(n)| = \sum_{k=m-1}^{s(m,n)} a(n, k) \sum_{\sigma \in o(m,k)} |\Sigma_k : S(X_\sigma)|.$$

*Determination of  $a(n, k)$*

We recall that a subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is a *partition of  $[1, n]$  with  $k$  blocks* if the elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are pairwise disjoint, their union is  $[1, n]$ , and  $|\mathcal{P}| = k$ . For each  $k \in [1, n]$ , we let  $\Pi_k$  denote the set of all partitions of  $[1, n]$  with  $k$  blocks. Observe next that  $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$  is the disjoint union of  $\Pi_k$  and the set  $\Pi$  of all subsets  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{S}| = k$ , the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are pairwise disjoint, and the complement in  $[1, n]$  of the union of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonempty.

We next define the map  $\rho : \Pi \rightarrow \Pi_{k+1}$  by

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S} \cup \left\{ [1, n] \setminus \left( \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S \right) \right\}.$$

This map is clearly surjective, and for each element  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\Pi_{k+1}$ ,  $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$  consists of  $k + 1$  elements of  $\Pi$ . Since inverse images under  $\rho$  of distinct elements of  $\Pi_{k+1}$  are pairwise disjoint, it follows that

$$a(n, k) = |\mathcal{A}(n, k)| = |\Pi_k| + (k + 1)|\Pi_{k+1}|.$$

But it is well-known that the elements of  $\Pi_k$  are counted by the Stirling numbers  $S(n, k)$  of the second kind ([5, p. 33]), hence

$$a(n, k) = S(n, k) + (k + 1)S(n, k + 1). \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.2) and the recurrence relation  $S(0, 0) = 1, S(n, k) = kS(n - 1, k) + S(n - 1, k - 1)$  for  $S(n, k)$ , we deduce the recurrence relation for  $a(n, k)$ :

$$a(0, 0) = 1, a(n, k) = (k + 1)a(n - 1, k) + a(n - 1, k - 1).$$

We also deduce from the explicit formula for  $S(n, k)$  ([5, p.34]) the following explicit formula for  $a(n, k)$ :

$$a(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \left( (k + 1)^n + \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-1)^{k+1-i}}{k + 1 - i} \binom{k}{i} i^{n+1} \right).$$

*Evaluation of*  $\sum_{\sigma \in o(m,k)} [\Sigma : S(X_\sigma)]$

We choose a representative  $C_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{mk}$  from each  $\sigma \in o(m, k)$  and let  $X_\sigma$  be a matrix of size  $m \times k$  whose column set is  $C_\sigma$ . The isotropy group of  $C_\sigma$  under the action of  $\Sigma_m$  on  $\mathcal{C}_{mk}$  is  $T(X_\sigma)$ , hence

$$|\mathcal{C}_{mk}| = \sum_{\sigma \in o(m,k)} |\sigma| = \sum_{\sigma \in o(m,k)} [\Sigma_m : T(X_\sigma)].$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.2,

$$|T(X_\sigma)| = |S(X_\sigma)|,$$

and so from Lagrange’s theorem it follows that

$$[\Sigma_m : T(X_\sigma)] = \frac{m!}{k!} [\Sigma_k : S(X_\sigma)].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in o(m,k)} [\Sigma_k : S(X_\sigma)] &= \frac{k!}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in o(m,k)} [\Sigma_m : T(X_\sigma)] \\ &= \frac{k!}{m!} |\mathcal{C}_{mk}|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

*Remark.* If  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , we will say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *nondegenerate* if  $\cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S = [1, n]$  and we will say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *essential* if the columns of the incidence matrix  $I(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  are all distinct and linearly independent over  $F$ . One can prove ([7, Lemma 2.6]) that if an obstruction  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]}$  is nondegenerate and essential then  $n$  is even and  $|\mathcal{O}| = n + 1$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{O}$  is any obstruction then  $\mathcal{O}$  is either nondegenerate (respectively, essential) or can be “expanded” (respectively, “reduced”) to a nondegenerate (respectively, essential) obstruction by the addition to  $I(\mathcal{O})$  of appropriate columns from the column space of  $I(\mathcal{O})$  (respectively, by the deletion from  $I(\mathcal{O})$  of



appropriate columns). The nondegenerate essential obstructions can hence be regarded as “irreducible” in a certain sense, and they in fact play a key role in the determination of the structure of an arbitrary obstruction ([7, Section 2]).

If  $n$  is even, it follows from the preceding calculations that the cardinality of the set of all nondegenerate essential obstructions contained in  $2^{[1,n]}$  is

$$a(n, n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}(n+1, n)} |\Sigma_n : S(X_\sigma)|$$

and so we deduce from (3.3) that this cardinality is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} |\mathcal{C}_{n+1, n}| &= \frac{1}{n+1} |\text{set of all bases of } \mathcal{Y}_n| \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $n = 2, 4, 6,$  and  $8,$  the number of these obstructions is respectively,  $1, 168, 83328,$  and  $14737830051840.$  This gives some indication of how rapidly the cardinality of the set of all obstructions in  $2^{[1,n]}$  increases as  $n$  increases.

The next theorem, the principle result of this section, is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4 and the calculations which follow that lemma:

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer, let  $m$  be an odd integer in  $[3, n + 1]$  (respectively,  $[3, n]$ ) if  $n$  is even (respectively, odd), and let  $\mathcal{O}_m(n)$  be the set of all obstructions of cardinality  $m$  that are contained in  $2^{[1,n]}$ . If  $s(m, n) = \min\{2^{m-1} - 1, n\},$  then*

$$|\mathcal{O}_m(n)| = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k=m-1}^{s(m,n)} b(n, k) \cdot c(m, k),$$

where

$$b(n, k) = (k + 1)^n + \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-1)^{k+1-i}}{k + 1 - i} \binom{k}{i} i^{n+1},$$

with recurrence relation

$$b(0, 0) = 1, \quad b(n, k) = (k + 1)b(n - 1, k) + kb(n - 1, k - 1),$$

and  $c(m, k)$  is the cardinality of the set

$$\{C \subseteq F^{m-1} \setminus \{0\} : |C| = k \text{ and } C \text{ contains a basis of } F^{m-1}\},$$

where

$$F^{m-1} = \text{the vector space of dimension } m - 1 \text{ over } F.$$

We will now illustrate the use of Theorem 3.5 by counting all of the various sets of obstructions in  $2^{[1,n]}$  for  $n = 2, 3, 4,$  and  $5$ .

**$n = 2$**

$$|\mathcal{O}(2)| = |\mathcal{O}_3(2)| = \frac{1}{3!}b(2, 2) \cdot c(3, 2) = \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 1$$

**$n = 3$**

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{O}(3)| &= |\mathcal{O}_3(3)| \\ &= \frac{1}{3!}b(3, 2) \cdot c(3, 2) + \frac{1}{3!}b(3, 3) \cdot c(3, 3) \\ &= \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 12 \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 6 \cdot 1 \\ &= 7. \end{aligned}$$

**$n = 4$**

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{O}(4)| &= |\mathcal{O}_3(4)| + |\mathcal{O}_5(4)|. \\ |\mathcal{O}_3(4)| &= \frac{1}{3!}b(4, 2) \cdot c(3, 2) + \frac{1}{3!}b(4, 3) \cdot c(3, 3) \\ &= \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 50 \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 60 \cdot 1 \\ &= 35, \\ |\mathcal{O}_5(4)| &= \frac{1}{5!}b(4, 4) \cdot c(5, 4) \\ &= \frac{1}{5!} \cdot 24 \cdot 840 \\ &= 168. \\ |\mathcal{O}(4)| &= 35 + 168 = 203. \end{aligned}$$

$n = 5$

$$|\mathcal{O}(5)| = |\mathcal{O}_3(5)| + |\mathcal{O}_5(5)|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{O}_3(5)| &= \frac{1}{3!}b(5, 2) \cdot c(3, 2) + \frac{1}{3!}b(5, 3) \cdot c(3, 3) \\ &= \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 180 \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 390 \cdot 1 \\ &= 155. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{O}_5(5)| &= \frac{1}{5!}b(5, 4) \cdot c(5, 4) + \frac{1}{5!}b(5, 5) \cdot c(5, 5) \\ &= \frac{1}{5!} \cdot 360 \cdot 840 + \frac{1}{5!} \cdot 120 \cdot c(5, 5) \\ &= 2520 + c(5, 5). \end{aligned}$$

Our task now is to count the elements of  $\mathcal{C}_{55}$ , and we will do this by means of the simple “disjointify and fibrate” technique that was used in the determination of  $a(n, k)$ . Letting  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of all bases of  $F^4$ , which has cardinality 840, we begin by noting that every 5-element subset of  $F^4 \setminus \{0\}$  which contains a basis is of the form

$$b \cup \left\{ \sum_{v \in S} v \right\},$$

where  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $S \subseteq b$ , and  $|S| \geq 2$ . For  $k = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ , define

$$\mathcal{C}(k) = \left\{ b \cup \left\{ \sum_{v \in S} v \right\} : b \in \mathcal{B}, S \subseteq b, |S| = k + 1 \right\}.$$

The required disjointification is provided by the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.6**  $\mathcal{C}(1)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(2)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(3)$  are pairwise disjoint with union  $\mathcal{C}_{55}$ .

*Proof.* We have already noted that  $\mathcal{C}_{55}$  is the union of  $\mathcal{C}(1)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(2)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(3)$ , so we need only verify disjointness.

Let

$$b_i = \{b_{i1}, b_{i2}, b_{i3}, b_{i4}\} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and suppose that

$$b_1 \cup \left\{ \sum_i b_{1i} \right\} = b_2 \cup \left\{ \sum_j b_{2j} \right\},$$

with the sum on the left-hand side of this equation having  $k$  terms and the sum on the right having  $\ell$  terms,  $2 \leq k \leq 4$  and  $2 \leq \ell \leq 4$ . We must prove that  $k = \ell$ .

If  $b_1 = b_2$  then, after perhaps reindexing the terms in the sum on the right,

$$\sum_i b_{1i} = \sum_j b_{1j},$$

hence  $k = \ell$  since  $b_1$  is linearly independent over  $F$ . If  $b_1 \neq b_2$ , then, after reindexing if necessary, we have

$$b_{11} = \sum_j b_{2j}, \quad b_{21} = \sum_i b_{1i}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$b_1 \setminus \{b_{11}\} = b_2 \setminus \{b_{21}\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Suppose no index  $j$  is 1 in the sum on the right-hand side of the first equation in (3.4). It is then a consequence of (3.4) and (3.5) that, after perhaps reindexing,  $b_{11} = \sum_j b_{1j}$ , and this can occur only if  $b_{11}$  is the sole term in this sum, contrary to the fact that  $\ell \geq 2$ . Hence an index  $j$  in the sum on the right of the first equation in (3.4) must be 1, hence by (3.4) and (3.5) again, after perhaps reindexing the  $j$ 's,

$$b_{11} = \sum_i b_{1i} + \sum_{j \neq 1} b_{1j},$$

from which it follows that

$$\sum_i b_{1i} = \sum_j b_{1j}.$$

The sum on the left has  $k$  terms, the sum on the right has  $\ell$  terms, and so  $k = \ell$ . QED

For  $k = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ , let  $A(k)$  be the set of ordered pairs

$$A(k) = \{(b, S) : b \in \mathcal{B}, S \subseteq b, |S| = k + 1\},$$

and define the surjective map  $\rho_k : A(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(k)$  by

$$\rho_k((b, S)) = b \cup \left\{ \sum_{v \in S} v \right\}.$$

The following lemma provides the required fibration:

**Lemma 3.7** *If  $k = 1, 2$ , or  $3$  and  $b \cup \{\sum_{v \in S} v\} \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ , then*

$$\left| \rho_k^{-1} \left( b \cup \left\{ \sum_{v \in S} v \right\} \right) \right| = k + 2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $b = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ , with the elements of  $b$  indexed so that  $S = \{b_i : i \in [1, k + 1]\}$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . Then by reasoning along lines similar to those

followed in the proof of Lemma 3.6, one can show that for  $k = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ ,  $\rho_k^{-1}(b \cup \{\sum_{v \in S} v\})$  is, respectively,

$$\left\{ (b, S), \left( \{b_1 + b_2, b_2, b_3, b_4\}, \{b_1 + b_2, b_2\} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \{b_1, b_1 + b_2, b_3, b_4\}, \{b_1, b_1 + b_2\} \right) \right\},$$

$$\left\{ (b, S), \left( \left\{ \sum_1^3 b_i, b_2, b_3, b_4 \right\}, \left\{ \sum_1^3 b_i, b_2, b_3 \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \left\{ b_1, \sum_1^3 b_i, b_3, b_4 \right\}, \left\{ b_1, \sum_1^3 b_i, b_3 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left\{ b_1, b_2, \sum_1^3 b_i, b_4 \right\}, \left\{ b_1, b_2, \sum_1^3 b_i \right\} \right) \right\},$$

$$\left\{ (b, S), \left( \left\{ \sum_1^4 b_i, b_2, b_3, b_4 \right\}, \left\{ \sum_1^4 b_i, b_2, b_3, b_4 \right\} \right), \right. \\ \left( \left\{ b_1, \sum_1^4 b_i, b_3, b_4 \right\}, \left\{ b_1, \sum_1^4 b_i, b_3, b_4 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left\{ b_1, b_2, \sum_1^4 b_i, b_4 \right\}, \left\{ b_1, b_2, \sum_1^4 b_i, b_4 \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left\{ b_1, b_2, b_3, \sum_1^4 b_i \right\}, \left\{ b_1, b_2, b_3, \sum_1^4 b_i \right\} \right) \right\},$$

from which the conclusion of the lemma follows immediately. QED

It is now a consequence of Lemma 3.7 that

$$|A(k)| = (k + 2)|\mathcal{C}(k)|, \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

But we also have that  $|A(1)| = 6|\mathcal{B}|$ ,  $|A(2)| = 4|\mathcal{B}|$ , and  $|A(3)| = |\mathcal{B}|$ , and so from Lemma 3.6 we conclude that

$$c(5, 5) = |\mathcal{C}_{55}| = 2|\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{B}| + \frac{1}{5}|\mathcal{B}| = 2688.$$

Interestingly enough, this calculation shows that all but 315 of the 3003 5-element subsets of  $F^4 \setminus \{0\}$  contain a basis of  $F^4$ .

Returning to our obstruction count in  $2^{[1,5]}$ , we hence find that

$$|\mathcal{O}_5(5)| = 2520 + 2688 = 5208, \\ |\mathcal{O}(5)| = 155 + 5208 = 5363.$$

With regard to the calculation of  $|OIP(n)|$ , we have unfortunately been unable to make any real progress. If  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  and if we set

$$[\mathcal{S}, +\infty) = \{ \mathcal{T} \subseteq 2^{[1,n]} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \},$$

then

$$OIP(n) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{O}(n)} 2^{2^{[1,n] \setminus \{\emptyset\}} \setminus (\{\emptyset\} \cup [\mathcal{S}, +\infty))}.$$

Since

$$[\mathcal{S}, +\infty) \neq [\mathcal{T}, +\infty) \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{T},$$

$$\bigcap_{\mathcal{S} \in T} [\mathcal{S}, +\infty) = \left[ \bigcup_{\mathcal{S} \in T} \mathcal{S}, +\infty \right) \text{ for any nonempty subset } T \text{ of } 2^{2^{[1,n] \setminus \{\emptyset\}}}, \text{ and}$$

$$|[\mathcal{S}, +\infty)| = 2^{2^n - 1 - |\mathcal{S}|},$$

we thus deduce from the principle of inclusion and exclusion the following result:

**Theorem 3.8** *If  $n \geq 2$  is an integer and  $OIP(n)$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $2^{[1,n]}$  with the odd-intersection property, then*

$$|OIP(n)| = 2^{2^n - 1} - 1 + \sum_{i=3}^{2^n - 1} c(i) \cdot 2^{2^n - 1 - i}, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(i) &= |E(i)| - |O(i)|, \\ E(i) &= \left\{ T \subseteq \mathcal{O}(n) : |T| \text{ is even and } \left| \bigcup_{\mathcal{S} \in T} \mathcal{S} \right| = i \right\}, \\ O(i) &= \left\{ T \subseteq \mathcal{O}(n) : |T| \text{ is odd and } \left| \bigcup_{\mathcal{S} \in T} \mathcal{S} \right| = i \right\}, \\ \mathcal{O}(n) &= \text{set of all obstructions contained in } 2^{[1,n]}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 2$  or  $3$ , the coefficients in formula (3.6) can be readily calculated by brute force and so we find that

$$|OIP(2)| = 2^3 - 1 - 1 = 6,$$

$$|OIP(3)| = 2^7 - 1 - 7 \cdot 2^4 + 0 \cdot 2^3 + 21 \cdot 2^2 - 21 \cdot 2 + 6 = 63$$

(we are grateful to the referee for correcting an error in a previous version of this calculation). For values of  $n$  exceeding 3, the calculation of  $|E(i)|$  and  $|O(i)|$  becomes rather more complex, and will hence yield to either machine computation or deeper insight into the combinatorics of subsets of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . If nothing else, Theorem 3.8 at least shows how the cardinality of  $OIP(n)$  is determined combinatorially from the cardinalities of appropriate subsets of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . We hope to return to these issues in future work.

In closing, we wish to point out an interpretation of the cardinality of  $OIP(n)$  that has some interest for number theory. Declare a nonempty subset of  $Z^+$  to be

*completely square-free* if it does not contain 1 and all of its elements are square-free, i.e., no element has a perfect square as a nontrivial factor. If  $S$  is a nonempty, finite, completely square-free subset of  $Z^+$ ,  $\Pi$  is the set of all prime factors of the elements of  $S$ ,  $\pi(z)$  is the set of prime factors of  $z \in S$ , and if  $\mathcal{S} = \{\pi(z) : z \in S\}$ , then  $S$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{S}$  and vice-versa,  $S$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  have the same cardinality, and  $S$  is a set of quadratic nonresidues for infinitely many primes if and only if  $\mathcal{S}$  has the odd-intersection property with respect to  $\Pi$ . On the other hand, if  $\Pi$  is a given nonempty finite set of primes and  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^\Pi \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , we say that

$$\left\{ \prod_{p \in S} p : S \in \mathcal{S} \right\}$$

is a completely square-free set *determined by*  $\Pi$ . Consequently, if  $\Pi$  is a nonempty finite set of primes of cardinality  $n$ , then the cardinality of  $OIP(n)$  counts the number of completely square-free sets determined by  $\Pi$  that are sets of quadratic nonresidues for infinitely many primes.

## References

- [1] D. Buell and R. Hudson, On runs of consecutive quadratic residues and quadratic nonresidues, *BIT* 24 (1984), 243–247.
- [2] M. Filaseta and D. Richman, Sets which contain a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  for almost all  $p$ , *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 39 (1989), 1–8.
- [3] R. Hudson, On the first occurrence of certain patterns of quadratic residues and nonresidues, *Israel J. Math.* 44 (1983), 23–32.
- [4] M. Monzingo, On the distribution of consecutive triples of quadratic residues and quadratic nonresidues and related topics, *Fibonacci Quart.* 23 (1985), 133–138.
- [5] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. I, Wadsworth, Monterey, 1986.
- [6] S. Wright, Patterns of quadratic residues and nonresidues for infinitely many primes, *J. Number Theory* 123 (2007), 120–132.
- [7] S. Wright, Quadratic nonresidues and the combinatorics of sign multiplication, *Ars Combinatoria* (to appear).

(Received 27 May 2008)