

ASYMPTOTIC ENUMERATION OF EULERIAN DIGRAPHS
WITH MULTIPLE EDGES

Xiaoji Wang

Computer Science Department, Australian National University,

GPO Box 4, ACT 2601, Australia

1. Abstract.

We consider the estimation of the number of labelled eulerian digraphs with multiple edges by using an n -dimensional Cauchy integral. The asymptotic value is obtained for any fixed bound on the multiplicity of an edge.

2. Main result.

By an *eulerian* digraph we mean a digraph in which the in-degree is equal to the out-degree at each vertex. Let $EDME(n, t)$ be the number of labelled loop-free eulerian digraphs with n vertices in which the multiplicity of each edge is at most t . Allowing loops would multiply $EDME(n, t)$ by exactly $(t + 1)^n$, since loops do not affect the eulerian property. For the case where $t = 1$, McKay [1] obtained the asymptotic formula

$$EDME(n, 1) = \left(\frac{4^n}{\pi n}\right)^{(n-1)/2} n^{1/2} e^{-1/4} (1 + O(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})).$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

We will identify $EDME(n, t)$ as a coefficient in a n -variable power series, and estimate it by applying the saddle-point method to the integral provided by Cauchy's Theorem. Since the parameter which is tending to ∞ is the number of dimensions, the application of the saddle-point method has an analytic flavour different from that of most fixed-dimensional problems. In particular, the choice of contour is trivial but substantial work is required to demonstrate that the parts of contour where the absolute value of the integrand is small contribute negligibly to the result.

For $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, define $U_n(s) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid |x_i| \leq s \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$, $\theta' = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ and let $T : \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \mapsto \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be the linear transformation defined by $T : \theta' \mapsto \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$, where

$$y_j = \theta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta_k / (n + n^{1/2})$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. The following Theorem 2.1 was obtained by McKay [1] which is useful for our estimation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let a, b and c be real numbers with $a > 0$. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1/8$, and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Define*

$$J = J(a, b, c, n) =$$

$$\int \exp\left(-a \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\theta_j - \theta_k)^2 + b \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\theta_j - \theta_k)^4 + \frac{c}{n^2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\theta_j - \theta_k)^2\right)^2\right) d\theta',$$

where the integral is over $\theta' \in U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$ with $\theta_n = 0$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$J = n^{1/2} \left(\frac{\pi}{an}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(\frac{6b+c}{4a^2} + O(n^{-1/2+4\epsilon})\right). \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.2.

(i) For integer $t \geq 0$ and real x with $|x| < \pi/(t+1)$,

$$\left| (1 + \exp(ix) + \dots + \exp(itx)) / (t+1) \right| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24}t(t+2)x^2\right).$$

(ii) For integer $t \geq 0$ and any real x ,

$$\left| 1 + \exp(ix) + \dots + \exp(itx) \right| \leq t - 1 + (2 + 2 \cos(x))^{1/2}.$$

Proof. The proof for (ii) is too elementary to include and the proof for (i) is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1 - \exp(i(t+1)x)}{1 - \exp(ix)} \right| &= \left(\frac{1 - \cos((t+1)x)}{1 - \cos(x)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\sin((t+1)|x|/2)}{\sin(|x|/2)} \\ &= \exp\left(\log(\sin((t+1)|x|/2)) - \log(\sin(|x|/2))\right) \\ &\leq (t+1) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24}t(t+2)x^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

since for $0 < x < \pi$,

$$\log(\sin(x)) = \log(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k-1} B_{2k} x^{2k}}{k(2k)!},$$

where $\{B_n\}$ are the Bernoulli numbers, which satisfy $(-1)^k B_{2k} < 0$. ■

Theorem 2.3. For any $\epsilon > 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$EDME(n, t) = \left(\frac{3(t+1)^{2n}}{t(t+2)\pi n} \right)^{(n-1)/2} n^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{3(t^2+2t+2)}{20t(t+2)} + O(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})\right).$$

Proof. Since $\prod_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} (1 + x_j x_k^{-1} + x_j^2 x_k^{-2} + \dots + x_j^t x_k^{-t})$ is the generating function for the digraphs in which the multiplicity of each edge is at most t , $EDME(n, t)$ is the constant term. By Cauchy's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} EDME(n, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \oint \dots \oint \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} (1 + x_j x_k^{-1} + x_j^2 x_k^{-2} + \dots + x_j^t x_k^{-t})}{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} dx_1 \dots dx_n, \end{aligned}$$

where each integration is around a simple closed contour encircling the origin once in the anticlockwise direction. We choose each contour to be the unit circle and substitute $x_j = e^{i\theta_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} EDME(n, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \\ &\times \int_{U_n(\pi)} \prod_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} \left(1 + \exp(i(\theta_j - \theta_k)) + \dots + \exp(it(\theta_j - \theta_k)) \right) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$T_{jk}(\theta) = \frac{1 + \exp(i(\theta_j - \theta_k)) + \dots + \exp(it(\theta_j - \theta_k))}{t + 1}$$

and

$$g(\theta) = \prod_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} T_{jk}(\theta),$$

we have

$$EDME(n, t) = \frac{(t+1)^{n^2-n} I}{(2\pi)^n},$$

where

$$I = \int_{U_n(\pi)} g(\theta) d\theta.$$

We will begin the evaluation of I with the part of the integrand which will turn out to give the major contribution. Let I_1 be the contribution to I of those θ such that $|\theta_j - \theta_n| \leq n^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, where θ_j values are taken mod 2π . Since $g(\theta)$ is invariant under uniform translation of all θ_j , we see that the contributions to I_1 from different values of θ_n are the same. Hence,

$$I_1 = 2\pi \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} g(\theta) d\theta',$$

where $\theta' = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ with $\theta_n = 0$.

By using Taylor's expansions for $\exp(ix)$ and $\log(1+z)$ for complex z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta) &= \prod_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} T_{jk}(\theta) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} \log T_{jk}(\theta)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24}t(t+2) \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} (\theta_j - \theta_k)^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \frac{1}{2880}t(t+2)(t^2+2t+2) \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} (\theta_j - \theta_k)^4\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ O\left(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} |\theta_j - \theta_k|^5\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$I_1 = 2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\frac{12\pi}{t(t+2)n}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{3(t^2+2t+2)}{20t(t+2)} + O(n^{-1/2+5\epsilon})\right). \quad (2.1)$$

So our remaining work is to prove that the integral of $g(\theta)$ over the other parts of the region of integration is negligible compared to (2.1).

Let $\delta = \pi/6(t+1)$. For $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 6t+4, 6t+5$, define the interval $A_j = [(j-1)\delta, j\delta]$, and $B = [-\pi, -2\delta] \cup [2\delta, \pi]$. For any $\theta \in U_n(\pi)$, let us suppose that $A_0 \cup A_1$ contains $n/3(t+1)$ or more of the θ_j . (If not, we can make this true by

suitable translation). If $\theta_j \in B$ and $\theta_k \in A_0 \cup A_1$, then $\delta \leq |\theta_j - \theta_k| \leq \pi + \delta$. Define C to be the set consisting of such pairs (j, k) and I_2 to be the contribution to I of all the cases where n^ϵ or more of the θ_j lie in B . Since, if $(j, k) \in C$, $|T_{jk}(\theta)| \leq (t-1+(2+2\cos(\delta))^{1/2})/(t+1)$, and for any other pair (j, k) , $|T_{jk}(\theta)| \leq 1$, we have that

$$|I_2| \leq (3t+3)(2\pi)^n \left((t-1+(2+2\cos(\delta))^{1/2})/(t+1) \right)^{n^{2(1+\epsilon)/3(t+1)}}.$$

From this it easily follows that $I_2 = O(\exp(-c_1 n^{1+\epsilon}))I_1$ for some $c_1 > 0$. Thus we can suppose that at least $n - n^\epsilon$ of the θ_j lie in $[-2\delta, 2\delta]$. Now define $I_3(r)$ to be the contribution to I of those θ such that

- (i) $3\delta \leq |\theta_j| \leq \pi$ for r values of j ,
- (ii) $\theta_j \in [-2\delta, 2\delta]$ for at least $n - n^\epsilon$ values of j , and
- (iii) $\theta_j \in A_3 \cup A_{6t+4}$ for any other values of j .

Clearly $I_3(r) = 0$ if $r > n^\epsilon$. If θ_j and θ_k are in classes (i) and (ii), respectively, then $\delta \leq |\theta_j - \theta_k| \leq \pi + 2\delta$, while if they are both in classes (ii) and (iii), by Lemma 2.2, $|T_{jk}(\theta)| \leq \exp(-\frac{1}{24}t(t+2)(\theta_j - \theta_k)^2)$. Using $|T_{jk}(\theta)| \leq 1$ for the other cases, we find

$$|I_3(r)| \leq (3t+3)\pi^r \binom{n}{r} \left((t-1+(2+2\cos(\delta))^{1/2})/(t+1) \right)^{r(n-n^\epsilon)} \times |I'_3(n-r)|, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$I'_3(m) = \int_{U_m(\pi)} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \exp(-\frac{1}{12}t(t+2)(\theta_j - \theta_k)^2) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_m.$$

We can apply the transformation T (using m in place of n) to easily obtain

$$I'_3(m) \leq 2\pi m^{1/2} \left(\frac{12\pi}{t(t+2)m} \right)^{(m-1)/2}.$$

Substituting back into (2.2) we find that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n^\epsilon} |I_3(r)| \leq |I_1| \exp(-c_2 n + o(n))$$

for some $c_2 > 0$. We conclude that only substantial contribution must come from the case $r = 0$.

Next, define $I_4(h)$ to be the contribution to I of those θ such that

- (i) $|\theta_n| \leq 3\delta$,
- (ii) $n^{-1/2+\epsilon} < |\theta_j - \theta_n| \leq 6\delta$ for h values of j , and
- (iii) $|\theta_j - \theta_n| \leq n^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ for the remaining values of j .

Since $g(\theta)$ is invariant under uniform translation of all θ_j , we see that the contributions to $I_4(h)$ from different values of θ_n are the same. Hence, we have $|I_4(h)| \leq 6\delta |I'_4(h)|$, where $|I'_4(h)|$ is the same integral over θ' with $\theta_n = 0$. Since we have $|T_{j,k}(\theta)| \leq \exp(-\frac{1}{24}t(t+2)(\theta_j - \theta_k)^2)$, apply the transformation T to transform the θ' to \mathbf{y} and the values of θ' contributing to $I'_4(h)$ for $h \geq 1$ map to a subset of those \mathbf{y} such that either $|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_k| > n^\epsilon/2$ or $|y_k| > n^{-1/2+\epsilon}/2$ for some k . Since the contribution to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{12}t(t+2)n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_k^2\right) d\mathbf{y}$$

of those \mathbf{y} is $O(n)(12\pi/t(t+2)n)^{(n-1)/2} \exp(-c_3 n^{2\epsilon})$ for some $c_3 > 0$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{h=1}^{n-1} |I_4(h)| \leq O(n) \exp(-c_3 n^{2\epsilon}) |I_1|.$$

The remaining case, $h = 0$, is covered by I_1 . Therefore we have completed our proof. ■

Acknowledgement.

I wish to thank Brendan McKay for his valuable ideas and comments.

References.

- [1] B. D. McKay, The asymptotic number of regular tournaments, eulerian digraphs and eulerian oriented graphs, *Combinatorica*, 10 (4) (1990) 367-377.