

# On star-packings having a large matching

YOSHIMI EGAWA

*Department of Applied Mathematics  
Tokyo University of Science  
1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601  
Japan*

MICHITAKA FURUYA

*College of Liberal Arts and Sciences  
Kitasato University  
1-15-1 Kitasato, Minami-ku, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-0373  
Japan  
michitaka.furuya@gmail.com*

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{2, 3, \dots\}$  be a function. A family  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex-disjoint subgraphs of  $G$  is an  $f$ -star-packing if each element of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a star of order at least 2 and for  $x \in \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(P)$ , the degree of  $x$  in the graph  $\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$  is at most  $f(x)$ . In this paper we prove that  $G$  has a maximum  $f$ -star-packing  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}|$  is equal to the matching number of  $G$ . As an application of our result, we show a corollary concerning a bound on the number of components of order 2 in a path-factor.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite undirected simple graphs. Let  $G$  be a graph. We let  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  denote the vertex set and the edge set of  $G$ , respectively. For terms and symbols not defined here, we refer the reader to [4].

A family  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex-disjoint connected subgraphs of  $G$  is called a *packing*. We let  $V(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(P)$  and  $E(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E(P)$ . For each  $x \in V(\mathcal{P})$ , let  $d_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$  denote the degree of  $x$  in the graph  $\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$ . A packing  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $G$  is *perfect* if  $V(\mathcal{P}) = V(G)$ . A packing  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $G$  is called a *matching* if each element of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a complete graph of order 2. For a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{2, 3, \dots\}$ , a packing  $\mathcal{P}$  is called an  $f$ -star-packing if each element of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a star and  $1 \leq d_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in V(\mathcal{P})$ . A matching  $\mathcal{M}$  (resp. an  $f$ -star-packing  $\mathcal{P}$ ) of  $G$  is *maximum* if there is no matching  $\mathcal{M}'$  (resp. no  $f$ -star-packing  $\mathcal{P}'$ ) of  $G$  with  $|V(\mathcal{M}')| > |V(\mathcal{M})|$  (resp.  $|V(\mathcal{P}')| > |V(\mathcal{P})|$ ). The

cardinality of a maximum matching of  $G$ , denoted by  $\alpha'(G)$ , is called the *matching number* of  $G$ .

Note that a matching of a graph  $G$  is an  $f$ -star-packing for any function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{2, 3, \dots\}$ . Note also that for an  $f$ -star-packing  $\mathcal{P}$ , since edges from distinct elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  form a matching, we have  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \alpha'(G)$ . Thus it is natural to seek for a maximum  $f$ -star packing  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = \alpha'(G)$ . In other words, we are interested in the existence problem of a maximum  $f$ -star packing containing a maximum matching. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{2, 3, \dots\}$  be a function. Then  $G$  has a maximum  $f$ -star-packing  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = \alpha'(G)$ .*

Now we consider a special kind of  $f$ -star-packing. A perfect  $f$ -star-packing of a graph  $G$  is called a *path-factor* if  $f(x) = 2$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ . Note that each element of a path-factor is a path of order 2 or 3. A min-max theorem concerning an  $f$ -star-packing is known (see Theorem 7.9 in [2]). In particular, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a path-factor is given as follows (here  $i(G)$  denotes the number of isolated vertices of a graph  $G$ ):

**Theorem A (Akiyama, Avis and Era [1])** *A graph  $G$  has a path-factor if and only if  $i(G - S) \leq 2|S|$  for all  $S \subseteq V(G)$ .*

Berge [3] gave the following theorem concerning a maximum matching (here  $\text{odd}(G)$  denotes the number of components having odd order of a graph  $G$ ).

**Theorem B (Berge [3])** *Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ . Then  $\alpha'(G) \geq \alpha$  if and only if  $\text{odd}(G - S) \leq |S| + |V(G)| - 2\alpha$  for all  $S \subseteq V(G)$ .*

By Theorems 1.1, A and B, we obtain the following corollary concerning the existence of a path-factor which contains at least as many components of order 2 as required.

**Corollary 1.2** *Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $t$  be a real number with  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ . Then  $G$  has a path-factor  $\mathcal{P}$  such that the number of elements of order 2 is at least  $t$  if and only if  $i(G - S) \leq 2|S|$  and  $\text{odd}(G - S) \leq |S| + \frac{|V(G)| - 2t}{3}$  for all  $S \subseteq V(G)$ .*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a maximum matching of  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a maximum  $f$ -star-packing of  $G$ . We choose  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  so that

**(P1)**  $|E(\mathcal{P}) \cap E(\mathcal{M})|$  is as large as possible.

Set  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : |V(P)| \geq 3\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_1$ . Let  $Z = \{x \in V(\mathcal{P}) : d_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \geq 2\}$ . Note that  $Z \subseteq V(\mathcal{P}_1)$ . Let  $M_1$  be the set of edges in  $E(\mathcal{M})$  incident with a vertex in  $Z$ , and let  $M_2 = E(\mathcal{M}) - M_1$ . Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the set  $(M_2 - E(\mathcal{P}_2)) \cup (E(\mathcal{P}_2) - M_2)$ .

**Claim 2.1** *For each component  $C$  of  $H$ , we have  $|E(C) \cap M_2| \leq |E(C) \cap E(\mathcal{P}_2)|$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M_2$  and  $E(\mathcal{P}_2)$  are sets of independent edges of  $G$ ,  $C$  is a path or a cycle. By way of contradiction, we suppose that  $|E(C) \cap M_2| > |E(C) \cap E(\mathcal{P}_2)|$ . It follows that  $C$  is a path of even order and, if we write  $C = u_1u_2 \cdots u_{2m}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ), then  $u_{2i-1}u_{2i} \in M_2$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and  $u_{2i}u_{2i+1} \in E(\mathcal{P}_2)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ ). Furthermore,  $u_1, u_{2m} \in (V(\mathcal{P}_1) - Z) \cup (V(G) - V(\mathcal{P}))$ . Let  $P^i$  be the path  $u_{2i}u_{2i+1}$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ ), and let  $Q^i$  be the path  $u_{2i-1}u_{2i}$  for each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Note that  $P^i \in \mathcal{P}_2$  and  $E(\mathcal{P}) \cap (\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E(Q^i)) = \emptyset$ .

We first suppose that  $\{u_1, u_{2m}\} \cap (V(G) - V(\mathcal{P})) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\{u_1, u_{2m}\} \subseteq V(G) - V(\mathcal{P})$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}_1 = (\mathcal{P} - \{P^1, \dots, P^{m-1}\}) \cup \{Q^1, \dots, Q^m\}$  is an  $f$ -star-packing of  $G$  with  $|V(\mathcal{Q}_1)| > |V(\mathcal{P})|$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u_1$  belongs to an element  $R$  of  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}_2 = (\mathcal{P} - \{R, P^1, \dots, P^{m-1}\}) \cup \{R - u_1, Q^1, \dots, Q^m\}$  is an  $f$ -star-packing of  $G$  with  $|V(\mathcal{Q}_2)| > |V(\mathcal{P})|$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Consequently,  $\{u_1, u_{2m}\} \subseteq V(\mathcal{P}_1) - Z$ .

For  $i \in \{1, 2m\}$ , let  $R^i$  be the element of  $\mathcal{P}_1$  containing  $u_i$ . If  $R^1 \neq R^{2m}$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}_3 = (\mathcal{P} - \{R^1, R^{2m}, P^1, \dots, P^{m-1}\}) \cup \{R^1 - u_1, R^{2m} - u_{2m}, Q^1, \dots, Q^m\}$ ; if  $R^1 = R^{2m}$  and  $|V(R^1)| \geq 4$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}_3 = (\mathcal{P} - \{R^1, P^1, \dots, P^{m-1}\}) \cup \{R^1 - \{u_1, u_{2m}\}, Q^1, \dots, Q^m\}$ ; if  $R^1 = R^{2m}$  and  $|V(R^1)| = 3$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}_3 = (\mathcal{P} - \{R^1, P^1, \dots, P^{m-1}\}) \cup \{vu_1u_2, Q^2, \dots, Q^m\}$  where  $v$  is the vertex in  $Z \cap V(R^1)$ . In each case,  $\mathcal{Q}_3$  is an  $f$ -star-packing of  $G$  with  $|V(\mathcal{Q}_3)| = |V(\mathcal{P})|$  and  $|E(\mathcal{Q}_3) \cap E(\mathcal{M})| > |E(\mathcal{P}) \cap E(\mathcal{M})|$ , which contradicts (P1) (note that this argument works even if  $m = 1$ ).  $\square$

It follows from Claim 2.1 that  $|M_2| = \sum_C |E(C) \cap M_2| + |M_2 \cap E(\mathcal{P}_2)| \leq \sum_C |E(C) \cap E(\mathcal{P}_2)| + |M_2 \cap E(\mathcal{P}_2)| = |\mathcal{P}_2|$ , where  $C$  runs over all components of  $H$ . Furthermore, we have  $|M_1| \leq |Z| = |\mathcal{P}_1|$ . Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}_1| + |\mathcal{P}_2| \geq |M_1| + |M_2| = |\mathcal{M}| = \alpha'(G).$$

As we mentioned before the statement of Theorem 1.1, we have  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \alpha'(G)$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{P}| = \alpha'(G)$ .

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 26800086 (to M.F.).

## References

- [1] J. Akiyama, D. Avis and H. Era, On a  $\{1, 2\}$ -factor of a graph, TRU Math. **16** (1980), 97–102.
- [2] J. Akiyama and M. Kano, *Factors and factorizations of graphs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2031**, Springer, 2010.
- [3] C. Berge, Sur le couplage maximum d'un graphe, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **247** (1958), 258–259.
- [4] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory (4th edition)*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **173**, Springer, 2010.

(Received 17 May 2017)